
Python Security Documentation

Release 0.0

Victor Stinner

Aug 03, 2020

Contents

1	Pages	3
1.1	Python Security Vulnerabilities	3
1.2	Packages and PyPI	95
1.3	Python SSL and TLS security	102
1.4	Python Security	105

This page is an attempt to document security vulnerabilities in Python and the versions including the fix.

1.1 Python Security Vulnerabilities

Status of Python branches lists Python branches which get security fixes.

Total: 75 vulnerabilities.

Vulnerability	Disclosure
<i>[CVE-2020-14422] Hash collisions in IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface</i>	2020-06-17
<i>http.client: HTTP Header Injection in the HTTP method</i>	2020-02-10
<i>CVE-2020-8315: Unsafe DLL loading in getpathp.c on Windows 7</i>	2020-01-21
<i>Email header injection in Address objects</i>	2019-12-17
<i>Infinite loop in tarfile module while opening a crafted file</i>	2019-12-10
<i>Remove newline characters from uu encoding methods</i>	2019-11-30
<i>urllib basic auth regex denial of service</i>	2019-11-17
<i>Regular Expression Denial of Service in http.cookiejar</i>	2019-11-14
<i>CVE-2019-18348: CRLF injection via the host part of the url passed to urlopen()</i>	2019-10-24
<i>Reflected XSS in DocXMLRPCServer</i>	2019-09-21
<i>ssl.match_hostname() ignores extra string after whitespace in IPv4 address</i>	2019-07-01
<i>urlsplit does not handle NFKC normalization (second fix)</i>	2019-04-27
<i>urlsplit does not handle NFKC normalization</i>	2019-03-06
<i>urllib module local_file:// scheme</i>	2019-02-06
<i>TALOS-2018-0758 SSL CRL distribution points Denial of Service</i>	2019-01-15
<i>http.cookiejar: Incorrect validation of path</i>	2019-01-03
<i>xml package does not obey ignore_environment</i>	2018-09-24
<i>pickle.load denial of service</i>	2018-09-13
<i>_elementree C accelerator doesn't call XML_SetHashSalt()</i>	2018-09-10
<i>email.utils.parseaddr mistakenly parse an email</i>	2018-07-19
<i>Email folding function Denial-of-Service</i>	2018-05-16
<i>Buffer overflow vulnerability in os.symlink on Windows</i>	2018-03-05
<i>difflib and poplib catastrophic backtracking</i>	2018-03-02

Vulnerability	Disclosure
<i>Python 2.7 readahead is not thread safe</i>	2017-09-20
<i>Expat 2.2.3</i>	2017-07-17
<i>Environment variables injection in subprocess on Windows</i>	2017-06-22
<i>Expat 2.2.1</i>	2017-06-17
<i>PyString_Decompile integer overflow</i>	2017-06-13
<i>bpo-30500: urllib connects to a wrong host</i>	2017-05-29
<i>HTTP Header Injection (follow-up of CVE-2016-5699)</i>	2017-05-24
<i>[CVE-2020-15523] _Py_CheckPython3 uses uninitialized dllpath when embedder sets module path with Py_SetPath</i>	2017-03-10
<i>urllib FTP protocol stream injection</i>	2017-02-20
<i>Expat 2.2 (Expat bug #537)</i>	2017-02-17
<i>Zlib 1.2.11</i>	2017-01-05
<i>gettext.c2py()</i>	2016-10-30
<i>Sweet32 attack (DES, 3DES)</i>	2016-08-24
<i>HTTPoxy attack</i>	2016-07-18
<i>smtplib TLS stripping</i>	2016-06-11
<i>Issue #26657: HTTP server directory traversal</i>	2016-03-28
<i>Issue #26556: Expat 2.1.1</i>	2016-03-14
<i>zipimporter overflow</i>	2016-01-21
<i>HTTP header injection</i>	2014-11-24
<i>Validate TLS certificate</i>	2014-08-28
<i>buffer() integer overflows</i>	2014-06-24
<i>JSONDecoder.raw_decode</i>	2014-04-13
<i>os.makedirs() not thread-safe</i>	2014-03-28
<i>socket.recvfrom_into() overflow</i>	2014-01-14
<i>zipfile DoS using invalid file size</i>	2013-12-27
<i>CGI directory traversal (URL parsing)</i>	2013-10-29
<i>ssl: NULL in subjectAltNames</i>	2013-06-27
<i>ssl.match_hostname() IDNA issue</i>	2013-05-17
<i>ssl.match_hostname() wildcard DoS</i>	2013-05-15
<i>Limit imaplib.IMAP4_SSL.readline()</i>	2012-09-25
<i>ftplib unlimited read</i>	2012-09-25
<i>nntplib unlimited read</i>	2012-09-25
<i>poplib unlimited read</i>	2012-09-25
<i>smtplib unlimited read</i>	2012-09-25
<i>xmlrpc gzip unlimited read</i>	2012-09-25
<i>Hash function not randomized properly</i>	2012-04-19
<i>Vulnerability in the utf-16 decoder after error handling</i>	2012-04-14
<i>XML-RPC DoS</i>	2012-02-13
<i>ssl CBC IV attack</i>	2012-01-27
<i>Hash DoS</i>	2011-12-28
<i>pypirc created insecurely</i>	2011-11-30
<i>urllib redirect</i>	2011-03-24
<i>SimpleHTTPServer UTF-7</i>	2011-03-08
<i>audioop integer overflows</i>	2010-05-10
<i>audioop input validation</i>	2010-01-11
<i>httplib unlimited read</i>	2009-08-28
<i>smtplib accept bug and race condition</i>	2009-08-14
<i>Multiple integer overflows (Apple)</i>	2008-07-31
<i>Multiple integer overflows (Google)</i>	2008-04-11

Vulnerability	Disclosure
<i>expandtab() integer overflow</i>	2008-03-11
<i>CGI directory traversal (is_cgi().function)</i>	2008-03-07
<i>rgbimg and imageop overflows</i>	2007-09-16

Table of Contents:

1.1.1 [CVE-2020-14422] Hash collisions in IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface

In the ipaddress library there exists two classes IPv4Interface, and IPv6Interface. These classes' hash functions will always return 32 and 64 respectively. If IPv4Interface or IPv6Interface objects then are put in a dictionary, on for example a server storing IPs, this will cause hash collisions, which in turn can lead to DOS.

Resolve hash collisions for IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface. The `__hash__()` methods of classes IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface had issue of generating constant hash values of 32 and 128 respectively causing hash collisions. The fix uses the `hash()` function to generate hash values for the objects instead of XOR operation.

- Disclosure date: **2020-06-17** (Python issue bpo-41004 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.8.4** (2020-07-13) fixed by [commit dc8ce8e](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-06-29)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need commit)
- Python **3.6** (need release)
- Python **3.7** (need release)

Python issue

[CVE-2020-14422] Hash collisions in IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface.

- Python issue: [bpo-41004](#)
- Creation date: 2020-06-17
- Reporter: martin wennberg

CVE-2020-14422

Lib/ipaddress.py in Python through 3.8.3 improperly computes hash values in the IPv4Interface and IPv6Interface classes, which might allow a remote attacker to cause a denial of service if an application is affected by the performance of a dictionary containing IPv4Interface or IPv6Interface objects, and this attacker can cause many dictionary entries to be created.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2020-14422](#)
- Published: 2020-06-18
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2020-06-17** as reference:

- 2020-06-17: Python issue [bpo-41004](#) reported by martin wennberg
- 2020-06-18 (+1 days): CVE-2020-14422 published
- 2020-06-29 (+12 days): [commit 9a646aa](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-06-29 (+12 days): [commit b30ee26](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2020-06-29 (+12 days): [commit dc8ce8e](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-06-30 (+13 days): [commit b98e779](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-06-30 (+13 days): [commit cfc7ff8](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-07-13 (+26 days): Python 3.8.4 released

1.1.2 http.client: HTTP Header Injection in the HTTP method

It is possible to inject HTTP headers via the HTTP method which doesn't reject newline characters.

- Disclosure date: **2020-02-10** (Python issue [bpo-39603](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.8.5** (2020-07-21) fixed by [commit 668d321](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-07-18)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need commit)
- Python **3.6** (need release)
- Python **3.7** (need release)

Python issue

[security] [http.client: HTTP Header Injection in the HTTP method.](#)

- Python issue: [bpo-39603](#)
- Creation date: 2020-02-10
- Reporter: Max

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2020-02-10** as reference:

- 2020-02-10: Python issue [bpo-39603](#) reported by Max
- 2020-07-18 (+159 days): [commit 27b8110](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-07-18 (+159 days): [commit 668d321](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-07-18 (+159 days): [commit 8ca8a2e](#) (branch 3.1)

- 2020-07-19 (+160 days): [commit ca75fec](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-07-19 (+160 days): [commit f02de96](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-07-21 (+162 days): Python 3.8.5 released

1.1.3 CVE-2020-8315: Unsafe DLL loading in `getpathp.c` on Windows 7

At Python startup, `api-ms-win-core-path-l1-1-0.dll` is loaded with `LoadLibraryW()` without `LOAD_LIBRARY_SEARCH_XXX` flags.

Python 3.5 and older are not affected.

- Disclosure date: **2020-01-21** (Python issue [bpo-39401](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.6.11** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit 51332c4](#) (branch 3.6) (2020-01-31)
- Python **3.7.7** (2020-03-10) fixed by [commit 561c597](#) (branch 3.7) (2020-01-30)
- Python **3.8.2** (2020-02-24) fixed by [commit ad4a20b](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-01-30)

Python issue

[CVE-2020-8315] Unsafe dll loading in `getpathp.c` on Win7.

- Python issue: [bpo-39401](#)
- Creation date: 2020-01-21
- Reporter: Anthony Wee

CVE-2020-8315

In Python (CPython) 3.6 through 3.6.10, 3.7 through 3.7.6, and 3.8 through 3.8.1, an insecure dependency load upon launch on Windows 7 may result in an attacker's copy of `api-ms-win-core-path-l1-1-0.dll` being loaded and used instead of the system's copy. Windows 8 and later are unaffected.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2020-8315](#)
- Published: 2020-01-28
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2020-01-21** as reference:

- 2020-01-21: Python issue [bpo-39401](#) reported by Anthony Wee
- 2020-01-28 (+7 days): CVE-2020-8315 published
- 2020-01-29 (+8 days): [commit 6a65eba](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-01-30 (+9 days): [commit 561c597](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-01-30 (+9 days): [commit ad4a20b](#) (branch 3.8)

- 2020-01-31 (+10 days): [commit 51332c4](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-02-24 (+34 days): Python 3.8.2 released
- 2020-03-10 (+49 days): Python 3.7.7 released
- 2020-06-27 (+158 days): Python 3.6.11 released

1.1.4 Email header injection in Address objects

It is possible to inject email headers using CR or LF character.

The fix disallows CR and LF characters in `email.headerregistry.Address` arguments to guard against header injection attacks.

- Disclosure date: **2019-12-17** (Python issue [bpo-39073](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.6.11** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit 7df32f8](#) (branch 3.6) (2020-05-27)
- Python **3.7.8** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit a93bf82](#) (branch 3.7) (2020-05-27)
- Python **3.8.4** (2020-07-13) fixed by [commit 75635c6](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-05-27)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)

Python issue

email incorrect handling of crlf in Address objects.

- Python issue: [bpo-39073](#)
- Creation date: 2019-12-17
- Reporter: Jasper Spaans

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-12-17** as reference:

- 2019-12-17: [Python issue bpo-39073](#) reported by Jasper Spaans
- 2020-03-30 (+104 days): [commit 614f172](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-05-27 (+162 days): [commit 75635c6](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-05-27 (+162 days): [commit 7df32f8](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-05-27 (+162 days): [commit a93bf82](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-06-12 (+178 days): [commit f91a0b6](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2020-06-27 (+193 days): Python 3.6.11 released
- 2020-06-27 (+193 days): Python 3.7.8 released
- 2020-07-13 (+209 days): Python 3.8.4 released

1.1.5 Infinite loop in tarfile module while opening a crafted file

Infinite loop in tarfile module while opening a crafted TAR archive in the PAX format with a length of zero. Avoid infinite loop when reading specially crafted TAR files using the tarfile module (CVE-2019-20907).

- Disclosure date: **2019-12-10** (Python issue bpo-39017 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.8.5** (2020-07-21) fixed by [commit c554795](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-07-15)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)
- Python **3.6** (need release)
- Python **3.7** (need release)

Python issue

[CVE-2019-20907] Infinite loop in the tarfile module.

- Python issue: [bpo-39017](#)
- Creation date: 2019-12-10
- Reporter: [jvoisin](#)

CVE-2019-20907

In Lib/tarfile.py in Python through 3.8.3, an attacker is able to craft a TAR archive leading to an infinite loop when opened by tarfile.open, because `_proc_pax` lacks header validation.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-20907](#)
- Published: 2020-07-13
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-12-10** as reference:

- 2019-12-10: [Python issue bpo-39017](#) reported by [jvoisin](#)
- 2020-07-13 (+**216 days**): [CVE-2019-20907](#) published
- 2020-07-15 (+**218 days**): [commit 47a2955](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-07-15 (+**218 days**): [commit 5a8d121](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2020-07-15 (+**218 days**): [commit 79c6b60](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-07-15 (+**218 days**): [commit c554795](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-07-15 (+**218 days**): [commit f323229](#) (branch 3.9)

- 2020-07-16 (+219 days): commit cac9ca8 (branch 3.5)
- 2020-07-21 (+224 days): Python 3.8.5 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2019-20907/>

1.1.6 Remove newline characters from uu encoding methods

Filenames passed to the UU encoding methods (uu.py and uu_codec.py) that contain a newline character will overflow data into the UU content section. This can potentially be used to inject replace or corrupt data content in a file during the decode process.

The fix removes newline characters from filenames.

- Disclosure date: **2019-11-30** (Python issue bpo-38945 reported)
- Reported at: 2019-11-28 (PSRT list)
- Reported by: Matthew Rollings

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.18** (2020-04-19) fixed by commit a016d4e (branch 2.7) (2019-12-03)
- Python **3.6.10** (2019-12-18) fixed by commit 30afc91 (branch 3.6) (2019-12-02)
- Python **3.7.6** (2019-12-18) fixed by commit 87f2d26 (branch 3.7) (2019-12-02)
- Python **3.8.1** (2019-12-18) fixed by commit 8859fc6 (branch 3.8) (2019-12-02)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)

Python issue

Remove newline characters from uu encoding methods.

- Python issue: [bpo-38945](#)
- Creation date: 2019-11-30
- Reporter: stealthcopter

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-11-30** as reference:

- 2019-11-28 (-2 days): Reported (PSRT list)
- 2019-11-30: Python issue [bpo-38945](#) reported by stealthcopter
- 2019-12-02 (+2 days): commit 30afc91 (branch 3.6)
- 2019-12-02 (+2 days): commit 87f2d26 (branch 3.7)

- 2019-12-02 (+2 days): [commit 8859fc6](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-12-02 (+2 days): [commit a62ad47](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2019-12-03 (+3 days): [commit a016d4e](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-12-18 (+18 days): Python 3.6.10 released
- 2019-12-18 (+18 days): Python 3.7.6 released
- 2019-12-18 (+18 days): Python 3.8.1 released
- 2020-03-21 (+112 days): [commit 8835f46](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2020-04-19 (+141 days): Python 2.7.18 released

1.1.7 urllib basic auth regex denial of service

The `AbstractBasicAuthHandler` class of the `urllib.request` module uses an inefficient regular expression (catastrophic backtracking) which can be exploited by an attacker to cause a denial of service.

- Disclosure date: **2019-11-17** (Python issue [bpo-38826](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2019-11-17 ([bpo-38826](#))
- Reported by: Ben Caller and Matt Schwager

Fixed In

- Python **3.6.11** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit 69cdeeb](#) (branch 3.6) (2020-04-03)
- Python **3.7.8** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit b57a736](#) (branch 3.7) (2020-04-02)
- Python **3.8.3** (2020-05-14) fixed by [commit ea9e240](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-04-02)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)

Python issue

Regular Expression Denial of Service in `urllib.request.AbstractBasicAuthHandler`.

- Python issue: [bpo-38826](#)
- Creation date: 2019-11-17
- Reporter: Ben Caller

CVE-2020-8492

Python 2.7 through 2.7.17, 3.5 through 3.5.9, 3.6 through 3.6.10, 3.7 through 3.7.6, and 3.8 through 3.8.1 allows an HTTP server to conduct Regular Expression Denial of Service (ReDoS) attacks against a client because of `urllib.request.AbstractBasicAuthHandler` catastrophic backtracking.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2020-8492](#)
- Published: 2020-01-30
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-11-17** as reference:

- 2019-11-17: Python issue [bpo-38826](#) reported by Ben Caller
- 2019-11-17 (+0 days): Reported ([bpo-38826](#))
- 2020-01-30 (+74 days): CVE-2020-8492 published
- 2020-04-02 (+137 days): [commit 0b297d4](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-04-02 (+137 days): [commit b57a736](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-04-02 (+137 days): [commit ea9e240](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-04-03 (+138 days): [commit 69cdeeb](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-05-14 (+179 days): Python 3.8.3 released
- 2020-06-20 (+216 days): [commit 37fe316](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2020-06-27 (+223 days): Python 3.6.11 released
- 2020-06-27 (+223 days): Python 3.7.8 released

Links

- <https://bugs.python.org/issue39503>

1.1.8 Regular Expression Denial of Service in `http.cookiejar`

The regex `http.cookiejar.LOOSE_HTTP_DATE_RE` is vulnerable to regular expression denial of service (“RE-DoS”). `LOOSE_HTTP_DATE_RE.match()` is called when using `http.cookiejar.CookieJar` to parse `Set-Cookie` headers returned by a HTTP server. Processing a response from a malicious HTTP server can lead to extreme CPU usage and execution will be blocked for a long time.

- Disclosure date: **2019-11-14** (Python issue [bpo-38804](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.18** (2020-04-19) fixed by [commit e649903](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-11-24)
- Python **3.6.10** (2019-12-18) fixed by [commit 0716056](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-11-22)
- Python **3.7.6** (2019-12-18) fixed by [commit cb60851](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-11-22)
- Python **3.8.1** (2019-12-18) fixed by [commit a1e1be4](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-11-22)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)

Python issue

Regular Expression Denial of Service in `http.cookiejar`.

- Python issue: [bpo-38804](#)
- Creation date: 2019-11-14
- Reporter: Ben Caller

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-11-14** as reference:

- 2019-11-14: Python issue [bpo-38804](#) reported by Ben Caller
- 2019-11-22 (+8 days): [commit 0716056](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-11-22 (+8 days): [commit 1b779bf](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2019-11-22 (+8 days): [commit a1e1be4](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-11-22 (+8 days): [commit cb60851](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-11-24 (+10 days): [commit e649903](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-12-18 (+34 days): Python 3.6.10 released
- 2019-12-18 (+34 days): Python 3.7.6 released
- 2019-12-18 (+34 days): Python 3.8.1 released
- 2020-04-03 (+141 days): [commit 55a6a16](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2020-04-19 (+157 days): Python 2.7.18 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/CVE-2019-16935>

1.1.9 CVE-2019-18348: CRLF injection via the host part of the url passed to `urlopen()`

`http.client` allows to pass control characters like CRLF newlines which can be abused to inject HTTP headers.

- Disclosure date: **2019-10-24** (Python issue [bpo-38576](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.18** (2020-04-19) fixed by [commit e176e0c](#) (branch 2.7) (2020-03-19)
- Python **3.6.11** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit 83fc701](#) (branch 3.6) (2020-03-14)
- Python **3.7.8** (2020-06-27) fixed by [commit 34f85af](#) (branch 3.7) (2020-03-14)
- Python **3.8.3** (2020-05-14) fixed by [commit ff69c9d](#) (branch 3.8) (2020-03-14)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need release)

Python issue

CVE-2019-18348: CRLF injection via the host part of the url passed to urlopen().

- Python issue: [bpo-38576](#)
- Creation date: 2019-10-24
- Reporter: Riccardo Schirone

CVE-2019-18348

An issue was discovered in urllib2 in Python 2.x through 2.7.17 and urllib in Python 3.x through 3.8.0. CRLF injection is possible if the attacker controls a url parameter, as demonstrated by the first argument to urllib.request.urlopen with rn (specifically in the host component of a URL) followed by an HTTP header. This is similar to the CVE-2019-9740 query string issue and the CVE-2019-9947 path string issue. (This is not exploitable when glibc has CVE-2016-10739 fixed.)

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-18348](#)
- Published: 2019-10-23
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-10-24** as reference:

- 2019-10-23 (**-1 days**): CVE-2019-18348 published
- 2019-10-24: [Python issue bpo-38576](#) reported by Riccardo Schirone
- 2020-03-14 (**+142 days**): [commit 34f85af](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-03-14 (**+142 days**): [commit 83fc701](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-03-14 (**+142 days**): [commit 9165add](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-03-14 (**+142 days**): [commit ff69c9d](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-03-19 (**+147 days**): [commit e176e0c](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2020-04-19 (**+178 days**): Python 2.7.18 released
- 2020-05-14 (**+203 days**): Python 3.8.3 released
- 2020-06-20 (**+240 days**): [commit 09d8172](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2020-06-27 (**+247 days**): Python 3.6.11 released
- 2020-06-27 (**+247 days**): Python 3.7.8 released

1.1.10 Reflected XSS in DocXMLRPCServer

DocXMLRPCServer does not escape the server title.

The attacker has to find a way to control the server title.

- Disclosure date: **2019-09-21** (Python issue [bpo-38243](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit 8eb6415](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-10-01)
- Python **3.5.8** (2019-10-29) fixed by [commit 3fe1b19](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-10-29)
- Python **3.6.10** (2019-12-18) fixed by [commit 1698cac](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-09-28)
- Python **3.7.5** (2019-10-15) fixed by [commit 39a0c75](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-09-27)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 6447b9f](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-09-27)

Python issue

A reflected XSS in `python/Lib/DocXMLRPCServer.py`.

- Python issue: [bpo-38243](#)
- Creation date: 2019-09-21
- Reporter: longwenzhang

CVE-2019-16935

The documentation XML-RPC server in Python through 2.7.16, 3.x through 3.6.9, and 3.7.x through 3.7.4 has XSS via the `server_title` field. This occurs in `Lib/DocXMLRPCServer.py` in Python 2.x, and in `Lib/xmlrpc/server.py` in Python 3.x. If `set_server_title` is called with untrusted input, arbitrary JavaScript can be delivered to clients that visit the http URL for this server.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-16935](#)
- Published: 2019-09-28
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-09-21** as reference:

- 2019-09-21: Python issue [bpo-38243](#) reported by longwenzhang
- 2019-09-27 (+6 days): [commit 39a0c75](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-09-27 (+6 days): [commit 6447b9f](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-09-27 (+6 days): [commit e8650a4](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2019-09-28 (+7 days): [CVE-2019-16935](#) published
- 2019-09-28 (+7 days): [commit 1698cac](#) (branch 3.6)

- 2019-10-01 (+10 days): [commit 8eb6415](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-15 (+24 days): Python 3.7.5 released
- 2019-10-19 (+28 days): Python 2.7.17 released
- 2019-10-29 (+38 days): Python 3.5.8 released
- 2019-10-29 (+38 days): [commit 3fe1b19](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-12-18 (+88 days): Python 3.6.10 released

1.1.11 `ssl.match_hostname()` ignores extra string after whitespace in IPv4 address

`inet_aton()` accepts trailing characters after a valid IP. Because of that, Python `ssl.match_hostname('1.1.1.1 ; this should not work but does')` succeeded when it should fail.

The issue was introduced in [bpo-32819](#) by [commit aef1283b](#). Only Python 3.7 and newer are affected. It's a potential security bug although **low severity**. For one Python 3.7 and newer **no longer use** `ssl.match_hostname()` to verify hostnames and IP addresses of a certificate: **matching is performed by OpenSSL**.

It should not possible to register a x509 certificate with a hostname with spaces.

The glibc function `inet_aton()` accepts input as valid if said input is a IPv4 address followed by zero or more characters that are valid white-space as decided by `isspace()`, with the rest of the string after the first white-space being ignored. As `'\r'` is a valid white-space character the rest of the string is ignored (including the `'\r'`). See [glibc bug 24111: Deprecate `inet_addr`, `inet_aton`](#).

- Disclosure date: **2019-07-01** (Python issue [bpo-37463](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2019-06-07 (email to PSRT)
- Reported by: bug found by Dominik Czarnota, reported by Paul Kehrer

Fixed In

- Python **3.7.4** (2019-07-09) fixed by [commit 070fae6](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-07-02)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 3cba3d3](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-07-02)

Python issue

`socket.inet_aton` IP parsing issue in `ssl.match_hostname`.

- Python issue: [bpo-37463](#)
- Creation date: 2019-07-01
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-07-01** as reference:

- 2019-06-07 (-24 days): Reported (email to PSRT)
- 2019-07-01: Python issue [bpo-37463](#) reported by Christian Heimes

- 2019-07-02 (+1 days): [commit 070fae6](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-07-02 (+1 days): [commit 3cba3d3](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-07-02 (+1 days): [commit 477b1b2](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2019-07-09 (+8 days): Python 3.7.4 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

1.1.12 urlsplit does not handle NFKC normalization (second fix)

Follow up of the urllib NFKC normalization vulnerability: the fix ignored the user/password before @ whereas it still allowed to exploit the vulnerability.

The second fix no longer ignores the part before @.

- Disclosure date: **2019-04-27** (Python issue [bpo-36742](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2019-06-03 (email to PSRT)
- Reported by: Riccardo Schirone (Red Hat)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit f61599b](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-06-04)
- Python **3.5.8** (2019-10-29) fixed by [commit 4655d57](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-07-14)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit fd1771d](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-06-04)
- Python **3.7.4** (2019-07-09) fixed by [commit 250b62a](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-06-04)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 8d0ef0b](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-06-04)

Python issue

urlsplit doesn't accept a NFKD hostname with a port number.

- Python issue: [bpo-36742](#)
- Creation date: 2019-04-27
- Reporter: Chihiro Ito

CVE-2019-10160

A security regression of CVE-2019-9636 was discovered in python since commit [d537ab0ff9767ef024f26246899728f0116b1ec3](#) affecting versions 2.7, 3.5, 3.6, 3.7 and from v3.8.0a4 through v3.8.0b1, which still allows an attacker to exploit CVE-2019-9636 by abusing the user and password parts of a URL. When an application parses user-supplied URLs to store cookies, authentication credentials, or other kind of information, it is possible for an attacker to provide specially crafted URLs to make the application locate host-related information (e.g. cookies, authentication data) and send them to a different host than where it should, unlike if the URLs had been correctly parsed. The result of an attack may vary based on the application.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-10160](#)
- Published: 2019-06-07
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-04-27** as reference:

- 2019-04-27: Python issue [bpo-36742](#) reported by Chihiro Ito
- 2019-06-03 (+37 days): Reported (email to PSRT)
- 2019-06-04 (+38 days): [commit 250b62a](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-06-04 (+38 days): [commit 8d0ef0b](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-06-04 (+38 days): [commit f61599b](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-06-04 (+38 days): [commit fd1771d](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-06-07 (+41 days): CVE-2019-10160 published
- 2019-07-03 (+67 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-07-09 (+73 days): Python 3.7.4 released
- 2019-07-14 (+78 days): [commit 4655d57](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-19 (+175 days): Python 2.7.17 released
- 2019-10-29 (+185 days): Python 3.5.8 released

1.1.13 urlsplit does not handle NFKC normalization

URLs encoded with Punycode/IDNA use NFKC normalization to decompose characters. This can result in some characters introducing new segments into a URL.

See [Unicode® Technical Standard #46: Unicode IDNA Compatibility Processing](#).

- Disclosure date: **2019-03-06** (Python issue [bpo-36216](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2019-02-16 (email to PSRT)
- Reported by: Jonathan Birch of Microsoft Corporation and Panayiotis Panayiotou

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit e37ef41](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-03-07)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by [commit c0d9511](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-03-11)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit 23fc041](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-03-12)
- Python **3.7.3** (2019-03-25) fixed by [commit daad2c4](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-03-07)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 16e6f7d](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-03-07)

Python issue

`urlsplit` does not handle NFKC normalization.

- Python issue: [bpo-36216](#)
- Creation date: 2019-03-06
- Reporter: Steve Dower

CVE-2019-9636

Python 2.7.x through 2.7.16 and 3.x through 3.7.2 is affected by: Improper Handling of Unicode Encoding (with an incorrect netloc) during NFKC normalization. The impact is: Information disclosure (credentials, cookies, etc. that are cached against a given hostname). The components are: `urllib.parse.urlsplit`, `urllib.parse.urlparse`. The attack vector is: A specially crafted URL could be incorrectly parsed to locate cookies or authentication data and send that information to a different host than when parsed correctly.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-9636](#)
- Published: 2019-03-08
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-03-06** as reference:

- 2019-02-16 (**-18 days**): Reported (email to PSRT)
- 2019-03-06: [Python issue bpo-36216](#) reported by Steve Dower
- 2019-03-07 (**+1 days**): [commit 16e6f7d](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-03-07 (**+1 days**): [commit daad2c4](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-03-07 (**+1 days**): [commit e37ef41](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-03-08 (**+2 days**): CVE-2019-9636 published
- 2019-03-11 (**+5 days**): [commit c0d9511](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-03-12 (**+6 days**): [commit 23fc041](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-03-17 (**+11 days**): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-25 (**+19 days**): Python 3.7.3 released
- 2019-07-03 (**+119 days**): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-19 (**+227 days**): Python 2.7.17 released

1.1.14 urllib module `local_file://` scheme

`urllib` in Python 2.x through 2.7.16 supports the `local_file:` scheme, which makes it easier for remote attackers to bypass protection mechanisms that blacklist `file:` URIs, as demonstrated by triggering a `urllib.urlopen('local_file:///etc/passwd')` call.

- Disclosure date: **2019-02-06** (Python issue [bpo-35907](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit b15bde8](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-05-21)
- Python **3.5.8** (2019-10-29) fixed by [commit 4fe82a8](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-07-14)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit 4f06dae](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-05-29)
- Python **3.7.4** (2019-07-09) fixed by [commit 34bab21](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-05-22)

- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 0c2b6a3](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-05-22)

Python issue

[security][CVE-2019-9948] Unnecessary URL scheme exists to allow `file://` reading file in urllib.

- Python issue: [bpo-35907](#)
- Creation date: 2019-02-06
- Reporter: Sihoon Lee

CVE-2019-9948

urllib in Python 2.x through 2.7.16 supports the `local_file:` scheme, which makes it easier for remote attackers to bypass protection mechanisms that blacklist `file:` URIs, as demonstrated by triggering a `urllib.urlopen('local_file:///etc/passwd')` call.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-9948](#)
- Published: 2019-03-23
- CVSS Score: 6.4

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-02-06** as reference:

- 2019-02-06: [Python issue bpo-35907](#) reported by Sihoon Lee
- 2019-03-23 (+45 days): [CVE-2019-9948](#) published
- 2019-05-21 (+104 days): [commit b15bde8](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-05-22 (+105 days): [commit 0c2b6a3](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-05-22 (+105 days): [commit 34bab21](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-05-29 (+112 days): [commit 4f06dae](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-07-03 (+147 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-07-09 (+153 days): Python 3.7.4 released
- 2019-07-14 (+158 days): [commit 4fe82a8](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-19 (+255 days): Python 2.7.17 released
- 2019-10-29 (+265 days): Python 3.5.8 released

1.1.15 TALOS-2018-0758 SSL CRL distribution points Denial of Service

An exploitable denial-of-service vulnerability exists in the X509 certificate parser of Python.org Python 2.7.11 / 3.6.6. A specially crafted X509 certificate can cause a NULL pointer dereference, resulting in a denial of service. An attacker can initiate or accept TLS connections using crafted certificates to trigger this vulnerability.

Christian Heimes added the following comment.

The bug is less critical and harder to exploit than I initially thought. If you have cert validation enabled and only trust public root CAs from CA/B forum, then you are not affected.

The bug is only exploitable under two conditions:

- 1) The user has disabled TLS/SSL certificate validation *and* calls `getpeercert()` in 3rd party code.
- 2) Or the user trusts a CA that does not properly validate end-entity certificates.

When cert validation is enabled, the `ssl` module will refuse any untrusted certificate during the handshake. The `SSLObject.getpeercert()` and `SSLObject.getpeercert()` methods raise an exception, when the handshake was not successful. Python 2.7 - 3.6 hostname verification code only calls `getpeercert()` after the cert chain was validated successfully. Python 3.7+ no longer calls `getpeercert()` for hostname verification. Furthermore hostname verification can't be enabled when cert validation is disabled.

For publicly trusted CAs governed by CA/B baseline requirements, CRL DPs must be valid URI general names with HTTP links. From CA/Browser Forum Baseline Requirements Version 1.6.2, December 10, 2018, section 7.1.2.3. Subscriber Certificate:

- b. `cRLDistributionPoints`

This extension MAY be present. If present, it MUST NOT be marked critical, and it MUST contain the HTTP URL of the CA's CRL service.

Patch by **Christian Heimes**.

- Disclosure date: **2019-01-15** (Python issue [bpo-35746](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2019-01-15
- Reported by: Colin Read and Nicolas Edet of Cisco.

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.16** (2019-03-02) fixed by [commit 06b1542](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-01-15)
- Python **3.4.10** (2019-03-18) fixed by [commit 6c655ce](#) (branch 3.4) (2019-02-25)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by [commit efec763](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-02-26)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit 216a4d8](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-01-16)
- Python **3.7.3** (2019-03-25) fixed by [commit be5de95](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-01-15)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit a37f524](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-01-15)

Python issue

[[ssl](#)][[CVE-2019-5010](#)] TALOS-2018-0758 Denial of Service.

- Python issue: [bpo-35746](#)
- Creation date: 2019-01-15
- Reporter: Cisco Talos

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-01-15** as reference:

- 2019-01-15: Disclosure date (Python issue [bpo-35746](#) reported)

- 2019-01-15 (+0 days): Reported
- 2019-01-15 (+0 days): Python issue bpo-35746 reported by Cisco Talos
- 2019-01-15 (+0 days): commit 06b1542 (branch 2.7)
- 2019-01-15 (+0 days): commit a37f524 (branch 3.8)
- 2019-01-15 (+0 days): commit be5de95 (branch 3.7)
- 2019-01-16 (+1 days): commit 216a4d8 (branch 3.6)
- 2019-02-25 (+41 days): commit 6c655ce (branch 3.4)
- 2019-02-26 (+42 days): commit efec763 (branch 3.5)
- 2019-03-02 (+46 days): Python 2.7.16 released
- 2019-03-17 (+61 days): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-18 (+62 days): Python 3.4.10 released
- 2019-03-25 (+69 days): Python 3.7.3 released
- 2019-07-03 (+169 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

Links

- <https://blog.talosintelligence.com/2019/01/vulnerability-spotlight-pythonorg.html>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2019-5010/>

1.1.16 http.cookiejar: Incorrect validation of path

Cookies of `example.com` with `path=/any` were sent to `example.com/anybad/` while using a cookiejar with `http.cookiejar.DefaultCookiePolicy` policy. The code did not check for the first non-matching character in prefix match to be a slash.

- Disclosure date: **2019-01-03** (Python issue bpo-35647 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by commit ee15aa2 (branch 2.7) (2019-06-15)
- Python **3.4.10** (2019-03-18) fixed by commit e260f09 (branch 3.5) (2019-03-16)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by commit 382981b (branch 3.4) (2019-03-16)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by commit 5565b1d (branch 3.6) (2019-03-12)
- Python **3.7.3** (2019-03-25) fixed by commit 97c7d78 (branch 3.7) (2019-03-10)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by commit 0e1f1f0 (branch 3.8) (2019-03-10)

Python issue

Cookie path check returns incorrect results.

- Python issue: [bpo-35647](#)
- Creation date: 2019-01-03
- Reporter: Karthikeyan Singaravelan

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2019-01-03** as reference:

- 2019-01-03: Python issue [bpo-35647](#) reported by Karthikeyan Singaravelan
- 2019-03-10 (+66 days): [commit 0e1f1f0](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-03-10 (+66 days): [commit 97c7d78](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-03-12 (+68 days): [commit 5565b1d](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-03-16 (+72 days): [commit 382981b](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2019-03-16 (+72 days): [commit e260f09](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-03-17 (+73 days): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-18 (+74 days): Python 3.4.10 released
- 2019-03-25 (+81 days): Python 3.7.3 released
- 2019-06-15 (+163 days): [commit ee15aa2](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-07-03 (+181 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-19 (+289 days): Python 2.7.17 released

1.1.17 xml package does not obey ignore_environment

On two occasions, the xml package uses environment variables to override parser / DOM implementations: `xml.sax` package and `xml.dom.domreg` module. On both occasions, the code should not use env vars to override module names, when the interpreter is started with flags like `-E` or `-I`.

- Disclosure date: **2018-09-24** (Python issue [bpo-34791](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.16** (2019-03-02) fixed by [commit 2546ac8](#) (branch 2.7) (2018-10-19)
- Python **3.4.10** (2019-03-18) fixed by [commit 765d333](#) (branch 3.4) (2019-02-25)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by [commit 7cd08cf](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-02-26)
- Python **3.6.8** (2018-12-23) fixed by [commit 5e808f9](#) (branch 3.6) (2018-10-19)
- Python **3.7.2** (2018-12-23) fixed by [commit c119d59](#) (branch 3.7) (2018-10-19)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 223e501](#) (branch 3.8) (2018-09-24)

Python issue

xml package does not obey `sys.flags.ignore_environment`.

- Python issue: [bpo-34791](#)
- Creation date: 2018-09-24
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-09-24** as reference:

- 2018-09-24: Python issue [bpo-34791](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2018-09-24 (+0 days): [commit 223e501](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2018-10-19 (+25 days): [commit 2546ac8](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2018-10-19 (+25 days): [commit 5e808f9](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2018-10-19 (+25 days): [commit c119d59](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2018-12-23 (+90 days): Python 3.6.8 released
- 2018-12-23 (+90 days): Python 3.7.2 released
- 2019-02-25 (+154 days): [commit 765d333](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2019-02-26 (+155 days): [commit 7cd08cf](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-03-02 (+159 days): Python 2.7.16 released
- 2019-03-17 (+174 days): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-18 (+175 days): Python 3.4.10 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

1.1.18 pickle.load denial of service

A bug in `pickle.load()` function can cause memory exhaustion denial of service.

- Disclosure date: **2018-09-13** (Python issue [bpo-34656](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.4.10** (2019-03-18) fixed by [commit 4b42d57](#) (branch 3.4) (2019-02-25)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by [commit ef33dd6](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-02-26)
- Python **3.6.7** (2018-10-20) fixed by [commit 71a9c65](#) (branch 3.6) (2018-09-21)
- Python **3.7.1** (2018-10-20) fixed by [commit ef4306b](#) (branch 3.7) (2018-09-21)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit a4ae828](#) (branch 3.8) (2018-09-21)

Python issue

[CVE-2018-20406] memory exhaustion in Modules/_pickle.c:1393.

- Python issue: [bpo-34656](#)
- Creation date: 2018-09-13
- Reporter: shuoz

CVE-2018-20406

Modules/_pickle.c in Python before 3.7.1 has an integer overflow via a large LONG_BININPUT value that is mishandled during a “resize to twice the size” attempt. This issue might cause memory exhaustion, but is only relevant if the pickle format is used for serializing tens or hundreds of gigabytes of data.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-20406](#)
- Published: 2018-12-23
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-09-13** as reference:

- 2018-09-13: [Python issue bpo-34656](#) reported by shuoz
- 2018-09-21 (+8 days): [commit 71a9c65](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2018-09-21 (+8 days): [commit a4ae828](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2018-09-21 (+8 days): [commit ef4306b](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2018-10-20 (+37 days): Python 3.6.7 released
- 2018-10-20 (+37 days): Python 3.7.1 released
- 2018-12-23 (+101 days): CVE-2018-20406 published
- 2019-02-25 (+165 days): [commit 4b42d57](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2019-02-26 (+166 days): [commit ef33dd6](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-03-17 (+185 days): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-18 (+186 days): Python 3.4.10 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

Links

- https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1664511

1.1.19 `_elementree C` accelerator doesn't call `XML_SetHashSalt()`

The `pyexpat` module calls `XML_SetHashSalt()` to initialize the salt for hash randomization of the `XML_Parser` struct.

The `_elementree C` accelerator doesn't call `XML_SetHashSalt()`.

- Disclosure date: **2018-09-10** (Python issue bpo-34623 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.16** (2019-03-02) fixed by [commit 18b20ba](#) (branch 2.7) (2018-09-18)
- Python **3.4.10** (2019-03-18) fixed by [commit d16eaf3](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-02-25)
- Python **3.5.7** (2019-03-17) fixed by [commit 41b48e7](#) (branch 3.4) (2019-02-25)
- Python **3.6.7** (2018-10-20) fixed by [commit f7666e8](#) (branch 3.6) (2018-09-18)
- Python **3.7.1** (2018-10-20) fixed by [commit 470a435](#) (branch 3.7) (2018-09-18)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit cb5778f](#) (branch 3.8) (2018-09-18)

Python issue

`_elementtree.c` doesn't call `XML_SetHashSalt()`.

- Python issue: [bpo-34623](#)
- Creation date: 2018-09-10
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

CVE-2018-14647

Python's elementtree C accelerator failed to initialise Expat's hash salt during initialization. This could make it easy to conduct denial of service attacks against Expat by constructing an XML document that would cause pathological hash collisions in Expat's internal data structures, consuming large amounts CPU and RAM. Python 3.8, 3.7, 3.6, 3.5, 3.4, 2.7 are believed to be vulnerable.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-14647](#)
- Published: 2018-09-24
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-09-10** as reference:

- 2018-09-10: Python issue [bpo-34623](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2018-09-18 (+8 days): [commit 18b20ba](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2018-09-18 (+8 days): [commit 470a435](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2018-09-18 (+8 days): [commit cb5778f](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2018-09-18 (+8 days): [commit f7666e8](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2018-09-24 (+14 days): CVE-2018-14647 published
- 2018-10-20 (+40 days): Python 3.6.7 released
- 2018-10-20 (+40 days): Python 3.7.1 released
- 2019-02-25 (+168 days): [commit 41b48e7](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2019-02-25 (+168 days): [commit d16eaf3](#) (branch 3.5)

- 2019-03-02 (+173 days): Python 2.7.16 released
- 2019-03-17 (+188 days): Python 3.5.7 released
- 2019-03-18 (+189 days): Python 3.4.10 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

Links

- https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1632095

1.1.20 email.utils.parseaddr mistakenly parse an email

email.utils.parseaddr wrongly parse the From field of an email.

```
email.utils.parseaddr('John Doe jdoe@example.com <other@example.net>') returns  
('', 'John Doe jdoe@example.com'), whereas it should return ('John Doe jdoe@example.  
com', 'other@example.net').
```

- Disclosure date: **2018-07-19** (Python issue bpo-34155 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit 4cbcd2f](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-09-14)
- Python **3.5.8** (2019-10-29) fixed by [commit 063eba2](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-09-07)
- Python **3.6.10** (2019-12-18) fixed by [commit 13a1913](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-08-09)
- Python **3.7.5** (2019-10-15) fixed by [commit c48d606](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-08-09)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit 2170774](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-08-09)

Python issue

email.utils.parseaddr mistakenly parse an email.

- Python issue: [bpo-34155](#)
- Creation date: 2018-07-19
- Reporter: Cyril Nicodème

CVE-2019-16056

An issue was discovered in Python through 2.7.16, 3.x through 3.5.7, 3.6.x through 3.6.9, and 3.7.x through 3.7.4. The email module wrongly parses email addresses that contain multiple @ characters. An application that uses the email module and implements some kind of checks on the From/To headers of a message could be tricked into accepting an email address that should be denied. An attack may be the same as in CVE-2019-11340; however, this CVE applies to Python more generally.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-16056](#)
- Published: 2019-09-06
- [CVSS Score](#): 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-07-19** as reference:

- 2018-07-19: Python issue [bpo-34155](#) reported by Cyril Nicodème
- 2019-07-17 (+363 days): [commit 8cb65d1](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2019-08-09 (+386 days): [commit 13a1913](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-08-09 (+386 days): [commit 2170774](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-08-09 (+386 days): [commit c48d606](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-09-06 (+414 days): CVE-2019-16056 published
- 2019-09-07 (+415 days): [commit 063eba2](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-09-14 (+422 days): [commit 4cbcd2f](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-15 (+453 days): Python 3.7.5 released
- 2019-10-19 (+457 days): Python 2.7.17 released
- 2019-10-29 (+467 days): Python 3.5.8 released
- 2019-12-18 (+517 days): Python 3.6.10 released

Links

- <https://medium.com/@fs0c131y/tchap-the-super-not-secure-app-of-the-french-government-84b31517d144>
- <https://twitter.com/fs0c131y/status/1119143946687434753>

1.1.21 Email folding function Denial-of-Service

The email folding function enters an infinite loop if a header is longer than the policy maximum line length and contains many non-ASCII characters.

Regression introduced in Python 3.6.4.

- Disclosure date: **2018-05-16** (Python issue [bpo-33529](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit 516a6a2](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-06-18)
- Python **3.7.4** (2019-07-09) fixed by [commit 2fef5b0](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-05-14)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit c1f5667](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-05-14)

Python issue

[security] Infinite loop on folding email (`_fold_as_ew()`) if an header has no spaces.

- Python issue: [bpo-33529](#)
- Creation date: 2018-05-16

- Reporter: Rad164

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-05-16** as reference:

- 2018-05-16: Python issue [bpo-33529](#) reported by Rad164
- 2019-05-14 (+363 days): [commit 2fef5b0](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-05-14 (+363 days): [commit c1f5667](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-06-18 (+398 days): [commit 516a6a2](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-07-03 (+413 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-07-09 (+419 days): Python 3.7.4 released
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released

1.1.22 Buffer overflow vulnerability in `os.symlink` on Windows

On February 27th, 2018, the Python Security Response team was notified of a buffer overflow issue in the `os.symlink()` method on Windows. The issue affects all versions of Python between 3.2 and 3.6.4, including the 3.7 beta releases. It has been patched for the next releases of 3.4, 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7.

Scripts may be vulnerable if they use `os.symlink()` on Windows and an attacker is able to influence the location where links are created. As `os.symlink` requires additional privileges, exploits using this vulnerability are more likely to result in escalation of privilege.

Besides applying the fix to CPython, scripts can also ensure that the length of each path argument is less than 260, and if the source is a relative path, that its combination with the destination is also shorter than 260 characters. That is:

```
assert (len(src) < 260 and
        len(dest) < 260 and
        len(os.path.join(os.path.dirname(dest), src)) < 260)
os.symlink(src, dest)
```

Scripts that explicitly pass the `target_is_directory` argument as `True` are not vulnerable. Scripts on Python 3.5 that use bytes for paths are not vulnerable, because of a combination of stack layout and added parameter validation, but will still not behave correctly for long paths.

This vulnerability has been registered as CVE-2018-1000117, and patched in the commits listed below. This patch prevents the buffer overflow, but does not raise any new errors or enable the use of long paths when creating symlinks.

Many thanks to **Alexey Izbyshv** for the report, and helping us work through developing the patch.

- Disclosure date: **2018-03-05** (Python issue [bpo-33001](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2018-02-27 (email to the PSRT)
- Reported by: Alexey Izbyshv

Fixed In

- Python **3.4.9** (2018-08-02) fixed by [commit 77c02cd](#) (branch 3.4) (2018-05-14)
- Python **3.5.6** (2018-08-02) fixed by [commit f381cfe](#) (branch 3.5) (2018-05-14)
- Python **3.6.5** (2018-03-28) fixed by [commit baa4507](#) (branch 3.6) (2018-03-05)

- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 96fdbac \(branch 3.7\)](#) (2018-03-05)

Python issue

Buffer overflow vulnerability in `os.symlink` on Windows (CVE-2018-1000117).

- Python issue: [bpo-33001](#)
- Creation date: 2018-03-05
- Reporter: Steve Dower

CVE-2018-1000117

Python Software Foundation CPython version From 3.2 until 3.6.4 on Windows contains a Buffer Overflow vulnerability in `os.symlink()` function on Windows that can result in Arbitrary code execution, likely escalation of privilege. This attack appears to be exploitable via a python script that creates a symlink with an attacker controlled name or location. This vulnerability appears to have been fixed in 3.7.0 and 3.6.5.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-1000117](#)
- Published: 2018-03-07
- CVSS Score: 7.2

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-03-05** as reference:

- 2018-02-27 (**-6 days**): Reported (email to the PSRT)
- 2018-03-05: [Python issue bpo-33001](#) reported by Steve Dower
- 2018-03-05 (**+0 days**): [commit 96fdbac \(branch 3.7\)](#)
- 2018-03-05 (**+0 days**): [commit baa4507 \(branch 3.6\)](#)
- 2018-03-07 (**+2 days**): CVE-2018-1000117 published
- 2018-03-28 (**+23 days**): Python 3.6.5 released
- 2018-05-14 (**+70 days**): [commit 77c02cd \(branch 3.4\)](#)
- 2018-05-14 (**+70 days**): [commit f381cfe \(branch 3.5\)](#)
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released
- 2018-08-02 (**+150 days**): Python 3.4.9 released
- 2018-08-02 (**+150 days**): Python 3.5.6 released

Links

- <https://mail.python.org/mm3/archives/list/security-announce@python.org/thread/PVSURQ2YCNZODILA3QE7ZF3GCD25EVVT/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2018-1000117/>

1.1.23 `difflib` and `poplib` catastrophic backtracking

Regexes in `difflib` and `poplib` were vulnerable to catastrophic backtracking. These regexes formed potential DOS vectors (REDOS). They have been refactored.

This resolves CVE-2018-1060 and CVE-2018-1061.

Patch by **Jamie Davis**.

- Disclosure date: **2018-03-02** (Python issue [bpo-32981](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.15** (2018-04-29) fixed by [commit e052d40](#) (branch [2.7](#)) (2018-03-04)
- Python **3.4.9** (2018-08-02) fixed by [commit 942cc04](#) (branch [3.4](#)) (2018-03-11)
- Python **3.5.6** (2018-08-02) fixed by [commit 937ac1f](#) (branch [3.5](#)) (2018-03-11)
- Python **3.6.5** (2018-03-28) fixed by [commit c951675](#) (branch [3.6](#)) (2018-03-04)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 0902a2d](#) (branch [3.7](#)) (2018-03-04)

Python issue

Catastrophic backtracking in `poplib` (CVE-2018-1060) and `difflib` (CVE-2018-1061).

- Python issue: [bpo-32981](#)
- Creation date: 2018-03-02
- Reporter: James Davis

CVE-2018-1060

python before versions 2.7.15, 3.4.9, 3.5.6rc1, 3.6.5rc1 and 3.7.0 is vulnerable to catastrophic backtracking in `pop3lib`'s `apop()` method. An attacker could use this flaw to cause denial of service.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-1060](#)
- Published: 2018-06-18
- CVSS Score: 5.0

CVE-2018-1061

python before versions 2.7.15, 3.4.9, 3.5.6rc1, 3.6.5rc1 and 3.7.0 is vulnerable to catastrophic backtracking in the `difflib.IS_LINE_JUNK` method. An attacker could use this flaw to cause denial of service.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-1061](#)
- Published: 2018-06-19
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2018-03-02** as reference:

- 2018-03-02: Python issue [bpo-32981](#) reported by James Davis
- 2018-03-04 (+2 days): [commit 0902a2d](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2018-03-04 (+2 days): [commit c951675](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2018-03-04 (+2 days): [commit e052d40](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2018-03-11 (+9 days): [commit 937ac1f](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2018-03-11 (+9 days): [commit 942cc04](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2018-03-28 (+26 days): Python 3.6.5 released
- 2018-04-29 (+58 days): Python 2.7.15 released
- 2018-06-18 (+108 days): CVE-2018-1060 published
- 2018-06-19 (+109 days): CVE-2018-1061 published
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released
- 2018-08-02 (+153 days): Python 3.4.9 released
- 2018-08-02 (+153 days): Python 3.5.6 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2018-1060/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2018-1061/>

1.1.24 Python 2.7 readahead is not thread safe

Reading from the same file object in different threads does crash Python 2.7. The readahead feature of `Objects/fileobject.c` is not thread safe.

The PSRT decided that it's a regular bug and doesn't need to be categorized as a vulnerability, since the attacker has to be able to run arbitrary code in practice.

The PSRT considers that no Python 2.7 application currently rely on reading from the same file object "at the same time" from different thread, since it currently crashes.

- Disclosure date: **2017-09-20** (Python issue [bpo-31530](#) reported)
- Reported by: email to PSRT

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.15** (2018-04-29) fixed by [commit dbf52e0](#) (branch 2.7) (2018-01-02)

Python issue

CVE-2018-1000030: Python 2.7 readahead feature of file objects is not thread safe.

- Python issue: [bpo-31530](#)
- Creation date: 2017-09-20
- Reporter: STINNER Victor

CVE-2018-1000030

Python 2.7.14 is vulnerable to a Heap-Buffer-Overflow as well as a Heap-Use-After-Free. Python versions prior to 2.7.14 may also be vulnerable and it appears that Python 2.7.17 and prior may also be vulnerable however this has not been confirmed. The vulnerability lies when multiply threads are handling large amounts of data. In both cases there is essentially a race condition that occurs. For the Heap-Buffer-Overflow, Thread 2 is creating the size for a buffer, but Thread1 is already writing to the buffer without knowing how much to write. So when a large amount of data is being processed, it is very easy to cause memory corruption using a Heap-Buffer-Overflow. As for the Use-After-Free, Thread3->Malloc->Thread1->Free's->Thread2-Re-uses-Free'd Memory. The PSRT has stated that this is not a security vulnerability due to the fact that the attacker must be able to run code, however in some situations, such as function as a service, this vulnerability can potentially be used by an attacker to violate a trust boundary, as such the DWF feels this issue deserves a CVE.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2018-1000030](#)
- Published: 2018-02-08
- CVSS Score: 6.8

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-09-20** as reference:

- 2017-09-20: Python issue [bpo-31530](#) reported by STINNER Victor
- 2018-01-02 (+104 days): [commit dbf52e0](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2018-02-08 (+141 days): CVE-2018-1000030 published
- 2018-04-29 (+221 days): Python 2.7.15 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2018-1000030>

1.1.25 Expat 2.2.3

Expat 2.2.2 was released with multiple security fixes:

- #43: Protect against compilation without any source of high quality entropy enabled, e.g. with CMake build system
- #60: Windows with `_UNICODE`: Unintended use of `LoadLibraryW` with a non-wide string resulted in failure to load `advapi32.dll` and degradation in quality of used entropy when compiled with `_UNICODE` for Windows; you can launch existing binaries with `EXPAT_ENTROPY_DEBUG=1` in the environment to inspect the quality of entropy used during runtime

- [MOX-006]: Fix non-NULL parser parameter validation in XML_Parse; resulted in NULL dereference, previously

Expat 2.2.3 contains an additional security fix: #82: CVE-2017-11742 – Windows: Fix DLL hijacking vulnerability using Steve Holme’s LoadLibrary wrapper for/of cURL

- Disclosure date: **2017-07-17** (Python issue bpo-30947 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by commit [ec4ab09](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-08-18)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by commit [297516e](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-09-06)
- Python **3.4.8** (2018-02-04) fixed by commit [86a713c](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-09-24)
- Python **3.5.5** (2018-02-04) fixed by commit [f2492bb](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-09-25)
- Python **3.6.3** (2017-10-03) fixed by commit [83e37e1](#) (branch 3.6) (2017-08-18)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by commit [93d0cb5](#) (branch 3.7) (2017-08-18)

Python issue

Update embedded copy of libexpat from 2.2.1 to 2.2.3.

- Python issue: [bpo-30947](#)
- Creation date: 2017-07-17
- Reporter: STINNER Victor

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-07-17** as reference:

- 2017-07-17: [Python issue bpo-30947](#) reported by STINNER Victor
- 2017-08-18 (+**32 days**): commit [83e37e1](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-08-18 (+**32 days**): commit [93d0cb5](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-08-18 (+**32 days**): commit [ec4ab09](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-09-06 (+**51 days**): commit [297516e](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-09-17 (+**62 days**): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (+**64 days**): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2017-09-24 (+**69 days**): commit [86a713c](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-09-25 (+**70 days**): commit [f2492bb](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-10-03 (+**78 days**): Python 3.6.3 released
- 2018-02-04 (+**202 days**): Python 3.4.8 released
- 2018-02-04 (+**202 days**): Python 3.5.5 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2017-11742/>

1.1.26 Environment variables injection in subprocess on Windows

On Windows, prevent passing invalid environment variables and command arguments to subprocess.Popen.

It is possible to inject an environment variable in subprocess on Windows if a user data is passed to a subprocess via environment variable.

Check for invalid environment (variable names containing '=' and command arguments (containing '0')).

- Disclosure date: **2017-06-22** (Python issue bpo-30730 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by [commit 9dda2ca](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-06-24)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit e46f1c1](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-19)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit fe82c46](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-07-11)
- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by [commit a7c0264](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-06-23)
- Python **3.6.2** (2017-07-17) fixed by [commit a9b16cf](#) (branch 3.6) (2017-06-23)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit d174d24](#) (branch 3.7) (2017-06-23)

Python issue

[security] Injecting environment variable in subprocess on Windows.

- Python issue: [bpo-30730](#)
- Creation date: 2017-06-22
- Reporter: Serhiy Storchaka

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-06-22** as reference:

- 2017-06-22: [Python issue bpo-30730](#) reported by Serhiy Storchaka
- 2017-06-23 (+1 days): [commit a7c0264](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-06-23 (+1 days): [commit a9b16cf](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-06-23 (+1 days): [commit d174d24](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-06-24 (+2 days): [commit 9dda2ca](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-07-11 (+19 days): [commit fe82c46](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-07-17 (+25 days): Python 3.6.2 released
- 2017-07-19 (+27 days): [commit e46f1c1](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-08-08 (+47 days): Python 3.5.4 released

- 2017-08-09 (+48 days): Python 3.4.7 released
- 2017-09-17 (+87 days): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (+89 days): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

1.1.27 Expat 2.2.1

Upgrade expat copy from 2.2.0 to 2.2.1 to get fixes of multiple security vulnerabilities including:

- CVE-2017-9233 (External entity infinite loop DoS),
- CVE-2016-9063 (Integer overflow, re-fix),
- CVE-2016-0718 (Fix regression bugs from 2.2.0's fix to CVE-2016-0718)
- CVE-2012-0876 (Counter hash flooding with SipHash).

Note: the CVE-2016-5300 (Use os-specific entropy sources like `getrandom`) doesn't impact Python, since Python already gets entropy from the OS to set the expat secret using `XML_SetHashSalt()`.

- Disclosure date: **2017-06-17** (Expat 2.2.1 release)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by [commit 2ada64d](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-06-21)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit ab90986](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-16)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit 71572bb](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-07-12)
- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by [commit 91d171b](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-06-21)
- Python **3.6.2** (2017-07-17) fixed by [commit ea1ab80](#) (branch 3.6) (2017-06-21)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 5ff7132](#) (branch 3.7) (2017-06-21)

Python issue

Update embedded copy of expat to 2.2.1.

- Python issue: [bpo-30694](#)
- Creation date: 2017-06-18
- Reporter: Ned Deily

CVE-2012-0876

The XML parser (`xmlparse.c`) in expat before 2.1.0 computes hash values without restricting the ability to trigger hash collisions predictably, which allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (CPU consumption) via an XML file with many identifiers with the same value.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2012-0876](#)
- Published: 2012-07-03
- CVSS Score: 4.3

CVE-2016-0718

Expat allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (crash) or possibly execute arbitrary code via a malformed input document, which triggers a buffer overflow.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-0718](#)
- Published: 2016-05-26
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2016-9063

An integer overflow during the parsing of XML using the Expat library. This vulnerability affects Firefox < 50.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-9063](#)
- Published: 2018-06-11
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2017-9233

XML External Entity vulnerability in libexpat 2.2.0 and earlier (Expat XML Parser Library) allows attackers to put the parser in an infinite loop using a malformed external entity definition from an external DTD.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2017-9233](#)
- Published: 2017-07-25
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-06-17** as reference:

- 2012-07-03 (-1810 days): CVE-2012-0876 published
- 2016-05-26 (-387 days): CVE-2016-0718 published
- 2017-06-17: Disclosure date (Expat 2.2.1 release)
- 2017-06-18 (+1 days): [Python issue bpo-30694](#) reported by Ned Deily
- 2017-06-21 (+4 days): [commit 2ada64d](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-06-21 (+4 days): [commit 5ff7132](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-06-21 (+4 days): [commit 91d171b](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-06-21 (+4 days): [commit ea1ab80](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-07-12 (+25 days): [commit 71572bb](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-07-16 (+29 days): [commit ab90986](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-07-17 (+30 days): Python 3.6.2 released
- 2017-07-25 (+38 days): CVE-2017-9233 published
- 2017-08-08 (+52 days): Python 3.5.4 released
- 2017-08-09 (+53 days): Python 3.4.7 released

- 2017-09-17 (+92 days): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (+94 days): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2018-06-11 (+359 days): CVE-2016-9063 published
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

Links

- <https://libexpat.github.io/doc/cve-2017-9233/>
- https://github.com/libexpat/libexpat/blob/R_2_2_1/expat/Changes
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2012-0876/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-0718/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-5300/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-9063/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2017-9233/>

1.1.28 PyString_DecodeEscape integer overflow

Check & prevent integer overflow in PyString_DecodeEscape.

You need to compile a 1 GiB Python file on 32-bit system for reproducing it. It is very unlikely that this can happen by accident, and it is hard to use it in security attack. If you can make the attacked program compiling a 1 GiB Python file, you perhaps have easier ways to make a harm.

- Disclosure date: **2017-06-13** (Python issue bpo-30657 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by commit c3c9db8 (branch 2.7) (2017-06-18)
- Python **3.4.8** (2018-02-04) fixed by commit 6c004b4 (branch 3.4) (2017-12-08)
- Python **3.5.5** (2018-02-04) fixed by commit fd8614c (branch 3.5) (2017-12-08)

Python issue

[security] CVE-2017-1000158: Unsafe arithmetic in PyString_DecodeEscape.

- Python issue: [bpo-30657](#)
- Creation date: 2017-06-13
- Reporter: Jay Bosamiya

CVE-2017-1000158

CPython (aka Python) up to 2.7.13 is vulnerable to an integer overflow in the PyString_DecodeEscape function in stringobject.c, resulting in heap-based buffer overflow (and possible arbitrary code execution)

- CVE ID: [CVE-2017-1000158](#)

- Published: 2017-11-17
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-06-13** as reference:

- 2017-06-13: Python issue [bpo-30657](#) reported by Jay Bosamiya
- 2017-06-18 (+5 days): commit [c3c9db8](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-09-17 (+96 days): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-11-17 (+157 days): CVE-2017-1000158 published
- 2017-12-08 (+178 days): commit [6c004b4](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-12-08 (+178 days): commit [fd8614c](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2018-02-04 (+236 days): Python 3.4.8 released
- 2018-02-04 (+236 days): Python 3.5.5 released

1.1.29 bpo-30500: urllib connects to a wrong host

The urllib module doesn't parse correctly password containing the # character.

- Disclosure date: **2017-05-29** (Python issue [bpo-30500](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2017-03-04 (Orange Tsai on the PSRT list)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by commit [d4324ba](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-06-20)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by commit [052f9d6](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by commit [cc54c1c](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-07-12)
- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by commit [4899d84](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-06-20)
- Python **3.6.2** (2017-07-17) fixed by commit [b0fba88](#) (branch 3.6) (2017-06-20)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by commit [90e01e5](#) (branch 3.7) (2017-06-20)

Python issue

[security] urllib connects to a wrong host.

- Python issue: [bpo-30500](#)
- Creation date: 2017-05-29
- Reporter: Nam Nguyen

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-05-29** as reference:

- 2017-03-04 (-**86 days**): Reported (Orange Tsai on the PSRT list)
- 2017-05-29: Python issue [bpo-30500](#) reported by Nam Nguyen
- 2017-06-20 (+**22 days**): [commit 4899d84](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-06-20 (+**22 days**): [commit 90e01e5](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-06-20 (+**22 days**): [commit b0fba88](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-06-20 (+**22 days**): [commit d4324ba](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-07-12 (+**44 days**): [commit cc54c1c](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-07-17 (+**49 days**): Python 3.6.2 released
- 2017-07-26 (+**58 days**): [commit 052f9d6](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-08-08 (+**71 days**): Python 3.5.4 released
- 2017-08-09 (+**72 days**): Python 3.4.7 released
- 2017-09-17 (+**111 days**): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (+**113 days**): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

1.1.30 HTTP Header Injection (follow-up of CVE-2016-5699)

HTTP Header Injection, follow-up of CVE-2016-5699.

The fix disallows control chars in HTTP URLs.

This change broke applications sending invalid HTTP requests on purpose: [bpo-36274](#) added private methods to the `http.client.HTTPConnection` class (`_encode_request()` and `_validate_path()`) which can be overridden in a subclass for that.

Note: Python 2 `urllib.urlopen(url)` always quotes the URL and so is not vulnerable to HTTP Header Injection.

- Disclosure date: **2017-05-24** (Python issue [bpo-30458](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.17** (2019-10-19) fixed by [commit bb8071a](#) (branch 2.7) (2019-05-21)
- Python **3.5.8** (2019-10-29) fixed by [commit afe3a49](#) (branch 3.5) (2019-07-14)
- Python **3.6.9** (2019-07-03) fixed by [commit c50d437](#) (branch 3.6) (2019-05-08)
- Python **3.7.4** (2019-07-09) fixed by [commit 7e200e0](#) (branch 3.7) (2019-05-07)
- Python **3.8.0** (2019-10-14) fixed by [commit c4e671e](#) (branch 3.8) (2019-05-01)

Python issue

[security][CVE-2019-9740][CVE-2019-9947] HTTP Header Injection (follow-up of CVE-2016-5699).

- Python issue: [bpo-30458](#)
- Creation date: 2017-05-24
- Reporter: Orange

CVE-2019-9740

An issue was discovered in urllib2 in Python 2.x through 2.7.16 and urllib in Python 3.x through 3.7.3. CRLF injection is possible if the attacker controls a url parameter, as demonstrated by the first argument to urllib.request.urlopen with rn (specifically in the query string after a ? character) followed by an HTTP header or a Redis command.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-9740](#)
- Published: 2019-03-12
- CVSS Score: 4.3

CVE-2019-9947

An issue was discovered in urllib2 in Python 2.x through 2.7.16 and urllib in Python 3.x through 3.7.3. CRLF injection is possible if the attacker controls a url parameter, as demonstrated by the first argument to urllib.request.urlopen with rn (specifically in the path component of a URL that lacks a ? character) followed by an HTTP header or a Redis command. This is similar to the CVE-2019-9740 query string issue.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2019-9947](#)
- Published: 2019-03-23
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-05-24** as reference:

- 2017-05-24: [Python issue bpo-30458](#) reported by Orange
- 2019-03-12 (+657 days): [CVE-2019-9740](#) published
- 2019-03-23 (+668 days): [CVE-2019-9947](#) published
- 2019-05-01 (+707 days): [commit c4e671e](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2019-05-07 (+713 days): [commit 7e200e0](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2019-05-08 (+714 days): [commit c50d437](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2019-05-21 (+727 days): [commit bb8071a](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-07-03 (+770 days): Python 3.6.9 released
- 2019-07-09 (+776 days): Python 3.7.4 released
- 2019-07-14 (+781 days): [commit afe3a49](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2019-10-14: Python 3.8.0 released
- 2019-10-19 (+878 days): Python 2.7.17 released

- 2019-10-29 (+888 days): Python 3.5.8 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-5699/>

1.1.31 [CVE-2020-15523] `_Py_CheckPython3` uses uninitialized `dllpath` when embedder sets module path with `Py_SetPath`

CVE-2020-15523 is an invalid search path in Python 3.6 and later on Windows. It occurs during `Py_Initialize()` when the runtime attempts to pre-load `python3.dll`. If `Py_SetPath()` has been called, the expected location is not set, and locations elsewhere on the user's system will be searched.

This issue is not triggered when running `python.exe`. It only applies when CPython has been embedded in another application.

- Issue: <https://bugs.python.org/issue29778>
- Patch: <https://github.com/python/cpython/pull/21297>

The next patched releases will be: 3.9.0b5, 3.8.4, 3.7.9 (source only), 3.6.12 (source only).

Other than applying the patch, applications may mitigate the vulnerability by explicitly calling `LoadLibrary()` on their copy of `python3.dll` before calling `Py_Initialize()`. Even with the patch applied, applications should include a copy of `python3.dll` alongside their main Python DLL.

Thanks to Eric Gantumur for detecting and reporting the issue to the Python Security Response Team.

The <https://bugs.python.org/issue41304> issue fixed a regression in this vulnerability fix.

The original discovery credit goes to Eran Shimony and Ido Hoorvitch from CyberArk.

- Disclosure date: **2017-03-10** (Python issue `bpo-29778` reported)
- Reported at: 2020-06-24 (email to PSRT)
- Reported by: Erdenebat (Eric) Gantumur

Fixed In

- Python **3.8.4** (2020-07-13) fixed by [commit aa7f775](https://github.com/python/cpython/commit/aa7f775) (branch 3.8) (2020-07-06)

Vulnerable Versions

- Python **3.5** (need commit)
- Python **3.6** (need release)
- Python **3.7** (need release)

Python issue

[CVE-2020-15523] `_Py_CheckPython3` uses uninitialized `dllpath` when embedder sets module path with `Py_SetPath`.

- Python issue: `bpo-29778`
- Creation date: 2017-03-10

- Reporter: Tibor Csonka

CVE-2020-15523

In Python 3.6 through 3.6.10, 3.7 through 3.7.8, 3.8 through 3.8.4rc1, and 3.9 through 3.9.0b4 on Windows, a Trojan horse python3.dll might be used in cases where CPython is embedded in a native application. This occurs because python3X.dll may use an invalid search path for python3.dll loading (after Py_SetPath has been used). NOTE: this issue CANNOT occur when using python.exe from a standard (non-embedded) Python installation on Windows.

- CVE ID: CVE-2020-15523
- Published: 2020-07-04
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-03-10** as reference:

- 2017-03-10: Python issue [bpo-29778](#) reported by Tibor Csonka
- 2020-06-24 (+1202 days): Reported (email to PSRT)
- 2020-07-04 (+1212 days): CVE-2020-15523 published
- 2020-07-06 (+1214 days): [commit 110dd15](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2020-07-06 (+1214 days): [commit 46cbf61](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2020-07-06 (+1214 days): [commit 4981fe3](#) (branch 3.9)
- 2020-07-06 (+1214 days): [commit aa7f775](#) (branch 3.8)
- 2020-07-06 (+1214 days): [commit dcbaa1b](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2020-07-13 (+1221 days): Python 3.8.4 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2020-15523/>

1.1.32 urllib FTP protocol stream injection

FTP protocol stream injection via malicious URLs.

- Disclosure date: **2017-02-20** (blog post, mail to oss-security)
- Reported at: 2016-01-15 (email sent to the PSRT list)
- Reported by: Timothy D. Morgan (Blindspot)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by [commit e5eae47](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit a4e774f](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit 2a5a26c](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-07-27)

- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by [commit 19b2890](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.6.3** (2017-10-03) fixed by [commit 8c2d4cf](#) (branch 3.6) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 2b1e6e9](#) (branch 3.7) (2017-07-22)

Python issue

(ftplib) A remote attacker could possibly attack by containing the newline characters.

- Python issue: [bpo-30119](#)
- Creation date: 2017-04-20
- Reporter: Dong-hee Na

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-02-20** as reference:

- 2016-01-15 (**-402 days**): Reported (email sent to the PSRT list)
- 2017-02-20: Disclosure date (blog post, mail to oss-security)
- 2017-04-20 (**+59 days**): Python issue [bpo-30119](#) reported by Dong-hee Na
- 2017-07-22 (**+152 days**): [commit 2b1e6e9](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-07-26 (**+156 days**): [commit 19b2890](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-07-26 (**+156 days**): [commit 8c2d4cf](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-07-26 (**+156 days**): [commit a4e774f](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-07-26 (**+156 days**): [commit e5eae47](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-07-27 (**+157 days**): [commit 2a5a26c](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-08-08 (**+169 days**): Python 3.5.4 released
- 2017-08-09 (**+170 days**): Python 3.4.7 released
- 2017-09-17 (**+209 days**): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (**+211 days**): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2017-10-03 (**+225 days**): Python 3.6.3 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

Links

- <http://blog.blindspotsecurity.com/2017/02/advisory-javapython-ftp-injections.html>
- <http://www.openwall.com/lists/oss-security/2017/02/20/1>
- https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1478916

1.1.33 Expat 2.2 (Expat bug #537)

The Expat XML parser mishandles certain kinds of malformed input documents, resulting in buffer overflows during processing and error reporting. The overflows can manifest as a segmentation fault or as memory corruption during a parse operation. The bugs allow for a denial of service attack in many applications by an unauthenticated attacker, and could conceivably result in remote code execution.

- Disclosure date: **2017-02-17** (Python issue bpo-29591 reported)
- Reported by: 2016-05-27 (expat bug #537 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by [commit 0e4571a \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2017-06-15)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit ab90986 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2017-07-16)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit 71572bb \(branch 3.4\)](#) (2017-07-12)
- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by [commit 8c797ed \(branch 3.5\)](#) (2017-06-15)
- Python **3.6.2** (2017-07-17) fixed by [commit 86b9537 \(branch 3.6\)](#) (2017-06-14)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 23ec4b5 \(branch 3.7\)](#) (2017-06-14)

Python issue

expat 2.2.0: Various security vulnerabilities in bundled expat (CVE-2016-0718 and CVE-2016-4472).

- Python issue: [bpo-29591](#)
- Creation date: 2017-02-17
- Reporter: Natanael Copa

CVE-2016-0718

Expat allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (crash) or possibly execute arbitrary code via a malformed input document, which triggers a buffer overflow.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-0718](#)
- Published: 2016-05-26
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2016-4472

The overflow protection in Expat is removed by compilers with certain optimization settings, which allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (crash) or possibly execute arbitrary code via crafted XML data. NOTE: this vulnerability exists because of an incomplete fix for CVE-2015-1283 and CVE-2015-2716.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-4472](#)
- Published: 2016-06-30
- CVSS Score: 6.8

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-02-17** as reference:

- 2016-05-26 (-**267 days**): CVE-2016-0718 published
- 2016-06-30 (-**232 days**): CVE-2016-4472 published
- 2017-02-17: Python issue [bpo-29591](#) reported by Natanael Copa
- 2017-06-14 (+**117 days**): [commit 23ec4b5](#) (branch 3.7)
- 2017-06-14 (+**117 days**): [commit 86b9537](#) (branch 3.6)
- 2017-06-15 (+**118 days**): [commit 0e4571a](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-06-15 (+**118 days**): [commit 8c797ed](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-07-12 (+**145 days**): [commit 71572bb](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-07-16 (+**149 days**): [commit ab90986](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-07-17 (+**150 days**): Python 3.6.2 released
- 2017-08-08 (+**172 days**): Python 3.5.4 released
- 2017-08-09 (+**173 days**): Python 3.4.7 released
- 2017-09-17 (+**212 days**): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2017-09-19 (+**214 days**): Python 3.3.7 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

Links

- <https://sourceforge.net/p/expat/bugs/537/>
- <https://bugs.python.org/issue30610>

1.1.34 Zlib 1.2.11

These are the changes updating zlib from 1.2.8 to 1.2.10. It is only used when building without a system zlib.

The new release includes fixes for security issues CVE-2016-9840, CVE-2016-9841, CVE-2016-9842, CVE-2016-9843.

Note: Only Windows and macOS are affected by this issue. Linux packages use the system zlib.

- Disclosure date: **2017-01-05** (Python issue [bpo-29169](#) reported)
- Reported at: 2017-01-02 (zlib 1.2.10 released)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.14** (2017-09-17) fixed by [commit 80b24a9](#) (branch 2.7) (2017-01-31)
- Python **3.4.8** (2018-02-04) fixed by [commit d0e61bd](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-08-16)
- Python **3.5.4** (2017-08-08) fixed by [commit 34e7e2e](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-01-31)
- Python **3.6.1** (2017-03-21) fixed by [commit 34e7e2e](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-01-31)
- Python **3.7.0** (2018-06-28) fixed by [commit 34e7e2e](#) (branch 3.5) (2017-01-31)

Python issue

update zlib to 1.2.11.

- Python issue: [bpo-29169](#)
- Creation date: 2017-01-05
- Reporter: Matthias Klose

CVE-2016-9840

inftrees.c in zlib 1.2.8 might allow context-dependent attackers to have unspecified impact by leveraging improper pointer arithmetic.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-9840](#)
- Published: 2017-05-23
- CVSS Score: 6.8

CVE-2016-9841

inffast.c in zlib 1.2.8 might allow context-dependent attackers to have unspecified impact by leveraging improper pointer arithmetic.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-9841](#)
- Published: 2017-05-23
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2016-9842

The inflateMark function in inflate.c in zlib 1.2.8 might allow context-dependent attackers to have unspecified impact via vectors involving left shifts of negative integers.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-9842](#)
- Published: 2017-05-23
- CVSS Score: 6.8

CVE-2016-9843

The crc32_big function in crc32.c in zlib 1.2.8 might allow context-dependent attackers to have unspecified impact via vectors involving big-endian CRC calculation.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-9843](#)
- Published: 2017-05-23
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-01-05** as reference:

- 2017-01-02 (-3 days): Reported (zlib 1.2.10 released)
- 2017-01-05: Python issue [bpo-29169](#) reported by Matthias Klose
- 2017-01-31 (+26 days): [commit 34e7e2e](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2017-01-31 (+26 days): [commit 80b24a9](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2017-03-21 (+75 days): Python 3.6.1 released
- 2017-05-23 (+138 days): CVE-2016-9840 published
- 2017-05-23 (+138 days): CVE-2016-9841 published
- 2017-05-23 (+138 days): CVE-2016-9842 published
- 2017-05-23 (+138 days): CVE-2016-9843 published
- 2017-08-08 (+215 days): Python 3.5.4 released
- 2017-08-16 (+223 days): [commit d0e61bd](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-09-17 (+255 days): Python 2.7.14 released
- 2018-02-04 (+395 days): Python 3.4.8 released
- 2018-06-28: Python 3.7.0 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-9840/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-9841/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-9842/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-9843/>

1.1.35 `gettext.c2py()`

Arbitrary code execution in `gettext.c2py()`.

- Disclosure date: **2016-10-30** (Python issue [bpo-28563](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.13** (2016-12-17) fixed by [commit a876027](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-11-08)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit 07bcf05](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-11-08)
- Python **3.4.6** (2017-01-17) fixed by [commit 07bcf05](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-11-08)
- Python **3.5.3** (2017-01-17) fixed by [commit 07bcf05](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-11-08)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit 07bcf05](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-11-08)

Python issue

Arbitrary code execution in gettext.c2py.

- Python issue: [bpo-28563](#)
- Creation date: 2016-10-30
- Reporter: Carl Ekerot

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-10-30** as reference:

- 2016-10-30: Python issue [bpo-28563](#) reported by Carl Ekerot
- 2016-11-08 (+9 days): [commit 07bcf05](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2016-11-08 (+9 days): [commit a876027](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-12-17 (+48 days): Python 2.7.13 released
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-01-17 (+79 days): Python 3.4.6 released
- 2017-01-17 (+79 days): Python 3.5.3 released
- 2017-09-19 (+324 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://www.xil.se/post/is-eval-safe-yet-rspki/>

1.1.36 Sweet32 attack (DES, 3DES)

Remove 3DES from ssl default cipher list.

Sweet32 vulnerability found by Karthik Bhargavan and Gaetan Leurent from the [INRIA](#).

- Disclosure date: **2016-08-24** (end of the Sweet32 embargo)
- Reported by: Karthik Bhargavan and Gaetan Leurent (Sweet32)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.13** (2016-12-17) fixed by [commit d988f42](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-09-06)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit fa53dbd](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-03-10)
- Python **3.5.3** (2017-01-17) fixed by [commit 03d13c0](#) (branch 3.5) (2016-09-06)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit 03d13c0](#) (branch 3.5) (2016-09-06)

Python issue

Remove 3DES from cipher list (sweet32 CVE-2016-2183).

- Python issue: [bpo-27850](#)
- Creation date: 2016-08-24
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

CVE-2016-2183

The DES and Triple DES ciphers, as used in the TLS, SSH, and IPSec protocols and other protocols and products, have a birthday bound of approximately four billion blocks, which makes it easier for remote attackers to obtain cleartext data via a birthday attack against a long-duration encrypted session, as demonstrated by an HTTPS session using Triple DES in CBC mode, aka a “Sweet32” attack.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-2183](#)
- Published: 2016-08-31
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-08-24** as reference:

- 2016-08-24: Disclosure date (end of the Sweet32 embargo)
- 2016-08-24 (**+0 days**): Python issue [bpo-27850](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2016-08-31 (**+7 days**): CVE-2016-2183 published
- 2016-09-06 (**+13 days**): [commit 03d13c0](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2016-09-06 (**+13 days**): [commit d988f42](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-12-17 (**+115 days**): Python 2.7.13 released
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-01-17 (**+146 days**): Python 3.5.3 released
- 2017-03-10 (**+198 days**): [commit fa53dbd](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-08-09 (**+350 days**): Python 3.4.7 released

Links

- <https://sweet32.info/>
- <https://www.openssl.org/blog/blog/2016/08/24/sweet32/>

1.1.37 HTTPoxy attack

It was discovered that the Python `CGIHandler` class did not properly protect against the `HTTP_PROXY` variable name clash in a CGI context.

A remote attacker could possibly use this flaw to redirect HTTP requests performed by a Python CGI script to an attacker-controlled proxy via a malicious HTTP request.

Ignore the `HTTP_PROXY` variable when `REQUEST_METHOD` environment is set, which indicates that the script is in CGI mode.

CVSS score: 5.0 (CVSS v3).

- Disclosure date: **2016-07-18** (Python issue bpo-27568 reported)
- Reported by: Scott Geary (HTTPoxy)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.13** (2016-12-17) fixed by commit [75d7b61](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-07-30)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by commit [4cbb23f](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-07-31)
- Python **3.4.6** (2017-01-17) fixed by commit [4cbb23f](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-07-31)
- Python **3.5.3** (2017-01-17) fixed by commit [4cbb23f](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-07-31)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by commit [4cbb23f](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-07-31)

Python issue

“HTTPoxy”, use of `HTTP_PROXY` flag supplied by attacker in CGI scripts.

- Python issue: [bpo-27568](#)
- Creation date: 2016-07-18
- Reporter: Rémi Rampin

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-07-18** as reference:

- 2016-07-18: [Python issue bpo-27568](#) reported by Rémi Rampin
- 2016-07-30 (+12 days): commit [75d7b61](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-07-31 (+13 days): commit [4cbb23f](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2016-12-17 (+152 days): Python 2.7.13 released
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-01-17 (+183 days): Python 3.4.6 released
- 2017-01-17 (+183 days): Python 3.5.3 released
- 2017-09-19 (+428 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://httpoxy.org/>
- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2016-1000110>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2016-1000110/>

1.1.38 smtplib TLS stripping

A vulnerability in smtplib allowing MITM attacker to perform a startTLS stripping attack. smtplib does not seem to raise an exception when the remote end (SMTP server) is capable of negotiating starttls but fails to respond with 220 (ok) to an explicit call of SMTP.starttls(). This may allow a malicious MITM to perform a startTLS stripping attack if the client code does not explicitly check the response code for startTLS.

- Disclosure date: **2016-06-11** (commit date)
- Reported at: 2016-02-01 (Red Hat issue reported)
- Reported by: Tin (Team Oststrom)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.12** (2016-06-28) fixed by [commit 2e1b7fc](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit 3625f7f](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-19)
- Python **3.4.5** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit 46b32f3](#) (branch 3.4) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.5.2** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit 46b32f3](#) (branch 3.4) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit 46b32f3](#) (branch 3.4) (2016-06-11)

CVE-2016-0772

The smtplib library in CPython (aka Python) before 2.7.12, 3.x before 3.4.5, and 3.5.x before 3.5.2 does not return an error when StartTLS fails, which might allow man-in-the-middle attackers to bypass the TLS protections by leveraging a network position between the client and the registry to block the StartTLS command, aka a “StartTLS stripping attack.”

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-0772](#)
- Published: 2016-09-02
- CVSS Score: 5.8

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-06-11** as reference:

- 2016-02-01 (**-131 days**): Reported (Red Hat issue reported)
- 2016-06-11: Disclosure date (commit date)
- 2016-06-11 (**+0 days**): [commit 2e1b7fc](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-06-11 (**+0 days**): [commit 46b32f3](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2016-06-27 (**+16 days**): Python 3.4.5 released
- 2016-06-27 (**+16 days**): Python 3.5.2 released
- 2016-06-28 (**+17 days**): Python 2.7.12 released
- 2016-09-02 (**+83 days**): CVE-2016-0772 published
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-07-19 (**+403 days**): [commit 3625f7f](#) (branch 3.3)

- 2017-09-19 (+465 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <http://seclists.org/oss-sec/2016/q2/541>
- https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=CVE-2016-0772

1.1.39 Issue #26657: HTTP server directory traversal

Fix directory traversal vulnerability with `http.server` and `SimpleHTTPServer` on Windows.

Regression of Python 3.3.5.

Python issue reported at 2016-03-14.

- Disclosure date: **2016-03-28** (Python issue bpo-26657 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.12** (2016-06-28) fixed by [commit 0cf2cf2](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-04-18)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit 7b92f9f](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.4.7** (2017-08-09) fixed by [commit 6f6bc1d](#) (branch 3.4) (2017-07-12)
- Python **3.5.2** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit d274b3f](#) (branch 3.5) (2016-04-18)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit d274b3f](#) (branch 3.5) (2016-04-18)

Python issue

Directory traversal with `http.server` and `SimpleHTTPServer` on windows.

- Python issue: [bpo-26657](#)
- Creation date: 2016-03-28
- Reporter: Thomas

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-03-28** as reference:

- 2016-03-28: [Python issue bpo-26657](#) reported by Thomas
- 2016-04-18 (+21 days): [commit 0cf2cf2](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-04-18 (+21 days): [commit d274b3f](#) (branch 3.5)
- 2016-06-27 (+91 days): Python 3.5.2 released
- 2016-06-28 (+92 days): Python 2.7.12 released
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-07-12 (+471 days): [commit 6f6bc1d](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2017-07-26 (+485 days): [commit 7b92f9f](#) (branch 3.3)

- 2017-08-09 (+499 days): Python 3.4.7 released
- 2017-09-19 (+540 days): Python 3.3.7 released

1.1.40 Issue #26556: Expat 2.1.1

Multiple integer overflows have been discovered in Expat, an XML parsing C library, which may result in denial of service or the execution of arbitrary code if a malformed XML file is processed.

Update bundled copy of Expat library to version 2.1.1 to get CVE-2015-1283 fixes.

- Disclosure date: **2016-03-14** (Python issue bpo-26556 reported)
- Reported at: 2015-07-24 (Expat issue #528 reported)
- Reported by: David Dillard (Expat issue)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.12** (2016-06-28) fixed by [commit d244a8f \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit ab90986 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2017-07-16)
- Python **3.4.5** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit 196d7db \(branch 3.4\)](#) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.5.2** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit 196d7db \(branch 3.4\)](#) (2016-06-11)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit 196d7db \(branch 3.4\)](#) (2016-06-11)

Python issue

Update expat to 2.1.1.

- Python issue: [bpo-26556](#)
- Creation date: 2016-03-14
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

CVE-2015-1283

Multiple integer overflows in the XML_GetBuffer function in Expat through 2.1.0, as used in Google Chrome before 44.0.2403.89 and other products, allow remote attackers to cause a denial of service (heap-based buffer overflow) or possibly have unspecified other impact via crafted XML data, a related issue to CVE-2015-2716.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2015-1283](#)
- Published: 2015-07-22
- CVSS Score: 6.8

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-03-14** as reference:

- 2015-07-22 (-236 days): CVE-2015-1283 published
- 2015-07-24 (-234 days): Reported (Expat issue #528 reported)

- 2016-03-14: Python issue [bpo-26556](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2016-06-11 (+89 days): [commit 196d7db](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2016-06-11 (+89 days): [commit d244a8f](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-06-27 (+105 days): Python 3.4.5 released
- 2016-06-27 (+105 days): Python 3.5.2 released
- 2016-06-28 (+106 days): Python 2.7.12 released
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-07-16 (+489 days): [commit ab90986](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2017-09-19 (+554 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://sourceforge.net/p/expat/bugs/528/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2015-1283/>

1.1.41 zipimporter overflow

Heap overflow in `zipimporter` module.

- Disclosure date: **2016-01-21** (Python issue [bpo-26171](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.12** (2016-06-28) fixed by [commit 64ea192](#) (branch 2.7) (2016-01-21)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit d751040](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-09-14)
- Python **3.4.5** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit c4032da](#) (branch 3.4) (2016-01-21)
- Python **3.5.2** (2016-06-27) fixed by [commit c4032da](#) (branch 3.4) (2016-01-21)
- Python **3.6.0** (2016-12-23) fixed by [commit d751040](#) (branch 3.3) (2016-09-14)

Python issue

heap overflow in `zipimporter` module.

- Python issue: [bpo-26171](#)
- Creation date: 2016-01-21
- Reporter: Insu Yun

CVE-2016-5636

Integer overflow in the `get_data` function in `zipimport.c` in CPython (aka Python) before 2.7.12, 3.x before 3.4.5, and 3.5.x before 3.5.2 allows remote attackers to have unspecified impact via a negative data size value, which triggers a heap-based buffer overflow.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2016-5636](#)

- Published: 2016-09-02
- CVSS Score: 10.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2016-01-21** as reference:

- 2016-01-21: Python issue [bpo-26171](#) reported by Insu Yun
- 2016-01-21 (+0 days): commit [64ea192](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2016-01-21 (+0 days): commit [c4032da](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2016-06-27 (+158 days): Python 3.4.5 released
- 2016-06-27 (+158 days): Python 3.5.2 released
- 2016-06-28 (+159 days): Python 2.7.12 released
- 2016-09-02 (+225 days): CVE-2016-5636 published
- 2016-09-14 (+237 days): commit [d751040](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2016-12-23: Python 3.6.0 released
- 2017-09-19 (+607 days): Python 3.3.7 released

1.1.42 HTTP header injection

HTTP header injection in `urllib`, `urllib2`, `httplib` and `http.client` modules.

CRLF injection vulnerability in the `HTTPConnection.putheader()` function in `urllib2` and `urllib` in CPython before 2.7.10 and 3.x before 3.4.4 allows remote attackers to inject arbitrary HTTP headers via CRLF sequences in a URL.

Reported again in January 2016 by Timothy D. Morgan (Blindspot Security), with a full disclosed at 2016-06-15.

- Disclosure date: **2014-11-24** (Python issue [bpo-22928](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.10** (2015-05-23) fixed by commit [59bdf63](#) (branch 2.7) (2015-03-12)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by commit [8e88f6b](#) (branch 3.3) (2017-07-26)
- Python **3.4.4** (2015-12-21) fixed by commit [a112a8a](#) (branch 3.4) (2015-03-12)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by commit [a112a8a](#) (branch 3.4) (2015-03-12)

Python issue

HTTP header injection in `urllib2/urllib/httplib/http.client` (CVE-2016-5699).

- Python issue: [bpo-22928](#)
- Creation date: 2014-11-24
- Reporter: Guido Vranken

CVE-2016-5699

CRLF injection vulnerability in the HTTPConnection.putheader function in urllib2 and urllib in CPython (aka Python) before 2.7.10 and 3.x before 3.4.4 allows remote attackers to inject arbitrary HTTP headers via CRLF sequences in a URL.

- CVE ID: CVE-2016-5699
- Published: 2016-09-02
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-11-24** as reference:

- 2014-11-24: Python issue bpo-22928 reported by Guido Vranken
- 2015-03-12 (+108 days): commit 59bdf63 (branch 2.7)
- 2015-03-12 (+108 days): commit a112a8a (branch 3.4)
- 2015-05-23 (+180 days): Python 2.7.10 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2015-12-21 (+392 days): Python 3.4.4 released
- 2016-09-02 (+648 days): CVE-2016-5699 published
- 2017-07-26 (+975 days): commit 8e88f6b (branch 3.3)
- 2017-09-19 (+1030 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <http://blog.blindspotsecurity.com/2016/06/advisory-http-header-injection-in.html>

1.1.43 Validate TLS certificate

The HTTP clients in the (1) httplib, (2) urllib, (3) urllib2, and (4) xmlrpclib libraries in CPython (aka Python) 2.x before 2.7.9 and 3.x before 3.4.3, when accessing an HTTPS URL, do not (a) check the certificate against a trust store or verify that the server hostname matches a domain name in the subject's (b) Common Name or (c) subjectAltName field of the X.509 certificate, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to spoof SSL servers via an arbitrary valid certificate.

See also the [PEP 476 – Enabling certificate verification by default for stdlib http clients](#) and [PEP 466: Network Security Enhancements for Python 2.7.x](#).

- Disclosure date: **2014-08-28** (PEP 476 created)
- Reported by: Alex Gaynor (PEP 476 author)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.9** (2014-12-10) fixed by commit e3e7d40 (branch 2.7) (2014-11-24)
- Python **3.4.3** (2015-02-23) fixed by commit 4ffb075 (branch 3.4) (2014-11-03)

- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit 4ffb075](#) (branch 3.4) (2014-11-03)

Python issue

PEP 476: verify HTTPS certificates by default.

- Python issue: [bpo-22417](#)
- Creation date: 2014-09-15
- Reporter: Nick Coghlan

CVE-2014-9365

The HTTP clients in the (1) `httplib`, (2) `urllib`, (3) `urllib2`, and (4) `xmlrpclib` libraries in CPython (aka Python) 2.x before 2.7.9 and 3.x before 3.4.3, when accessing an HTTPS URL, do not (a) check the certificate against a trust store or verify that the server hostname matches a domain name in the subject's (b) Common Name or (c) `subjectAltName` field of the X.509 certificate, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to spoof SSL servers via an arbitrary valid certificate.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2014-9365](#)
- Published: 2014-12-12
- CVSS Score: 5.8

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-08-28** as reference:

- 2014-08-28: Disclosure date (PEP 476 created)
- 2014-09-15 (+18 days): Python issue [bpo-22417](#) reported by Nick Coghlan
- 2014-11-03 (+67 days): [commit 4ffb075](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2014-11-24 (+88 days): [commit e3e7d40](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-12-10 (+104 days): Python 2.7.9 released
- 2014-12-12 (+106 days): CVE-2014-9365 published
- 2015-02-23 (+179 days): Python 3.4.3 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released

Links

- [PEP 476: Enabling certificate verification by default for stdlib http clients](#)

1.1.44 `buffer()` integer overflows

Integer overflow in `bufferobject.c` in Python before 2.7.8 allows context-dependent attackers to obtain sensitive information from process memory via a large size and offset in a `buffer` type.

- Disclosure date: **2014-06-24** (Python issue [bpo-21831](#) reported)
- Reported by: Chris Foster (on the Python security list)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.8** (2014-06-29) fixed by [commit 550b945 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2014-06-24)

Python issue

integer overflow in 'buffer' type allows reading memory.

- Python issue: [bpo-21831](#)
- Creation date: 2014-06-24
- Reporter: Benjamin Peterson

CVE-2014-7185

Integer overflow in `bufferobject.c` in Python before 2.7.8 allows context-dependent attackers to obtain sensitive information from process memory via a large size and offset in a “buffer” function.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2014-7185](#)
- Published: 2014-10-08
- CVSS Score: 6.4

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-06-24** as reference:

- 2014-06-24: [Python issue bpo-21831](#) reported by Benjamin Peterson
- 2014-06-24 (+0 days): [commit 550b945 \(branch 2.7\)](#)
- 2014-06-29 (+5 days): Python 2.7.8 released
- 2014-10-08 (+106 days): [CVE-2014-7185](#) published

1.1.45 JSONDecoder.raw_decode

Fix arbitrary memory access in `JSONDecoder.raw_decode()` with a negative second parameter.

Note: The issue #21529 was created at 2014-05-19, after the commit.

- Disclosure date: **2014-04-13** (commit)
- Reported by: Guido Vranken
- [Red Hat impact](#): Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.7** (2014-05-31) fixed by [commit 6c939cb \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2014-04-14)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit 99b5afa \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-14)
- Python **3.3.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit 99b5afa \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-14)
- Python **3.4.1** (2014-05-18) fixed by [commit 99b5afa \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-14)

- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit 99b5afa](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-04-14)

Python issue

JSON module: reading arbitrary process memory.

- Python issue: [bpo-21529](#)
- Creation date: 2014-05-19
- Reporter: Benjamin Peterson

CVE-2014-4616

Array index error in the `scanstring` function in the `_json` module in Python 2.7 through 3.5 and `simplejson` before 2.6.1 allows context-dependent attackers to read arbitrary process memory via a negative index value in the `idx` argument to the `raw_decode` function.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2014-4616](#)
- Published: 2017-08-24
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-04-13** as reference:

- 2014-04-13: Disclosure date (commit)
- 2014-04-14 (+1 days): [commit 6c939cb](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-04-14 (+1 days): [commit 99b5afa](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-05-18 (+35 days): Python 3.4.1 released
- 2014-05-19 (+36 days): Python issue [bpo-21529](#) reported by Benjamin Peterson
- 2014-05-31 (+48 days): Python 2.7.7 released
- 2014-10-11 (+181 days): Python 3.2.6 released
- 2014-10-11 (+181 days): Python 3.3.6 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2017-08-24 (+1229 days): CVE-2014-4616 published

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2014-4616>

1.1.46 `os.makedirs()` not thread-safe

`os.makedirs(exist_ok=True)` is not thread-safe: `umask` is set temporary to 0, serious security problem.

The fix removes the directory mode check from `os.makedirs()`.

The `exist_ok` parameter was added to Python 3.2.0 ([commit 5a22b651173f142a600625a036fcf36484ade237](#)).

- Disclosure date: **2014-03-28** (Python issue bpo-21082 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit ee5f1c1 \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-01)
- Python **3.3.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit ee5f1c1 \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-01)
- Python **3.4.1** (2014-05-18) fixed by [commit ee5f1c1 \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-01)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit ee5f1c1 \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2014-04-01)

Python issue

`os.makedirs(exist_ok=True)` is not thread-safe: `umask` is set temporary to 0, serious security problem.

- Python issue: [bpo-21082](#)
- Creation date: 2014-03-28
- Reporter: Ryan Lortie

CVE-2014-2667

Race condition in the `_get_masked_mode` function in `Lib/os.py` in Python 3.2 through 3.5, when `exist_ok` is set to true and multiple threads are used, might allow local users to bypass intended file permissions by leveraging a separate application vulnerability before the `umask` has been set to the expected value.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2014-2667](#)
- Published: 2014-11-15
- CVSS Score: 3.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-03-28** as reference:

- 2014-03-28: [Python issue bpo-21082](#) reported by Ryan Lortie
- 2014-04-01 (+4 days): [commit ee5f1c1 \(branch 3.2\)](#)
- 2014-05-18 (+51 days): Python 3.4.1 released
- 2014-10-11 (+197 days): Python 3.2.6 released
- 2014-10-11 (+197 days): Python 3.3.6 released
- 2014-11-15 (+232 days): [CVE-2014-2667](#) published
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released

1.1.47 `socket.recvfrom_into()` overflow

`socket.recvfrom_into()` fails to check that the supplied buffer object is big enough for the requested read and so will happily write off the end.

- Disclosure date: **2014-01-14** (Python issue bpo-20246 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.7** (2014-05-31) fixed by [commit 28cf368](#) (branch 2.7) (2014-01-14)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit fbf648e](#) (branch 3.3) (2014-01-14)
- Python **3.3.4** (2014-02-09) fixed by [commit fbf648e](#) (branch 3.3) (2014-01-14)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit fbf648e](#) (branch 3.3) (2014-01-14)

Python issue

buffer overflow in `socket.recvfrom_into`.

- Python issue: [bpo-20246](#)
- Creation date: 2014-01-14
- Reporter: Ryan Smith-Roberts

CVE-2014-1912

Buffer overflow in the `socket.recvfrom_into` function in `Modules/socketmodule.c` in Python 2.5 before 2.7.7, 3.x before 3.3.4, and 3.4.x before 3.4rc1 allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary code via a crafted string.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2014-1912](#)
- Published: 2014-02-28
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2014-01-14** as reference:

- 2014-01-14: [Python issue bpo-20246](#) reported by Ryan Smith-Roberts
- 2014-01-14 (**+0 days**): [commit 28cf368](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-01-14 (**+0 days**): [commit fbf648e](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2014-02-09 (**+26 days**): Python 3.3.4 released
- 2014-02-28 (**+45 days**): CVE-2014-1912 published
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-05-31 (**+137 days**): Python 2.7.7 released
- 2014-10-11 (**+270 days**): Python 3.2.6 released

1.1.48 zipfile DoS using invalid file size

Python before 3.3.4 RC1 allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (infinite loop and CPU consumption) via a file size value larger than the size of the zip file to the functions:

- `ZipExtFile.read()`
- `ZipExtFile.readlines()`
- `ZipFile.extract()`

- `ZipFile.extractall()`

Reading malformed zipfiles no longer hangs with 100% CPU consumption.

Python 2.7 is not affected.

- Disclosure date: **2013-12-27** (Python issue bpo-20078 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.3.4** (2014-02-09) fixed by [commit 5ce3f10 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2014-01-09)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 5ce3f10 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2014-01-09)

Python issue

zipfile - `ZipExtFile.read` goes into 100% CPU infinite loop on maliciously binary edited zips.

- Python issue: [bpo-20078](#)
- Creation date: 2013-12-27
- Reporter: Nandiya

CVE-2013-7338

Python before 3.3.4 RC1 allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (infinite loop and CPU consumption) via a file size value larger than the size of the zip file to the (1) `ZipExtFile.read`, (2) `ZipExtFile.read(n)`, (3) `ZipExtFile.readlines`, (4) `ZipFile.extract`, or (5) `ZipFile.extractall` function.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2013-7338](#)
- Published: 2014-04-22
- [CVSS Score](#): 7.1

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2013-12-27** as reference:

- 2013-12-27: Python issue [bpo-20078](#) reported by Nandiya
- 2014-01-09 (+13 days): [commit 5ce3f10 \(branch 3.3\)](#)
- 2014-02-09 (+44 days): Python 3.3.4 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-04-22 (+116 days): [CVE-2013-7338](#) published

1.1.49 CGI directory traversal (URL parsing)

An error in separating the path and filename of the CGI script to run in `http.server.CGIHTTPRequestHandler` allows running arbitrary executables in the directory under which the server was started.

- Disclosure date: **2013-10-29** (Python issue bpo-19435 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.6** (2013-11-10) fixed by [commit 1ef959a](#) ([branch 2.7](#)) (2013-10-30)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit 04e9de4](#) ([branch 3.2](#)) (2013-10-30)
- Python **3.3.4** (2014-02-09) fixed by [commit 04e9de4](#) ([branch 3.2](#)) (2013-10-30)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 04e9de4](#) ([branch 3.2](#)) (2013-10-30)

Python issue

Directory traversal attack for CGIHTTPRequestHandler.

- Python issue: [bpo-19435](#)
- Creation date: 2013-10-29
- Reporter: Alexander Kruppa

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2013-10-29** as reference:

- 2013-10-29: Python issue [bpo-19435](#) reported by Alexander Kruppa
- 2013-10-30 (+1 days): [commit 04e9de4](#) ([branch 3.2](#))
- 2013-10-30 (+1 days): [commit 1ef959a](#) ([branch 2.7](#))
- 2013-11-10 (+12 days): Python 2.7.6 released
- 2014-02-09 (+103 days): Python 3.3.4 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-10-11 (+347 days): Python 3.2.6 released

1.1.50 ssl: NULL in subjectAltNames

SSL module fails to handle NULL bytes inside subjectAltNames general names.

It's related to Ruby's [CVE-2013-4073](#).

Issue #18709 reported by Christian Heimes at 2013-08-12.

- Disclosure date: **2013-06-27** (Ruby issue)
- Reported by: Ryan Sleevi of the Google Chrome Security Team

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.9** (2013-10-29) fixed by [commit 82f8828](#) ([branch 2.7](#)) (2013-08-23)
- Python **2.7.6** (2013-11-10) fixed by [commit 82f8828](#) ([branch 2.7](#)) (2013-08-23)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit ec3c103](#) ([branch 3.2](#)) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.3.3** (2013-11-17) fixed by [commit 824f7f3](#) ([branch 3.3](#)) (2013-08-16)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 824f7f3](#) ([branch 3.3](#)) (2013-08-16)

Python issue

SSL module fails to handle NULL bytes inside subjectAltNames general names (CVE-2013-4238).

- Python issue: [bpo-18709](#)
- Creation date: 2013-08-12
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

CVE-2013-4238

The `ssl.match_hostname` function in the SSL module in Python 2.6 through 3.4 does not properly handle a '0' character in a domain name in the Subject Alternative Name field of an X.509 certificate, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to spoof arbitrary SSL servers via a crafted certificate issued by a legitimate Certification Authority, a related issue to CVE-2009-2408.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2013-4238](#)
- Published: 2013-08-17
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2013-06-27** as reference:

- 2013-06-27: Disclosure date (Ruby issue)
- 2013-08-12 (+46 days): Python issue [bpo-18709](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2013-08-16 (+50 days): [commit 824f7f3](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2013-08-17 (+51 days): CVE-2013-4238 published
- 2013-08-23 (+57 days): [commit 82f8828](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2013-10-29 (+124 days): Python 2.6.9 released
- 2013-11-10 (+136 days): Python 2.7.6 released
- 2013-11-17 (+143 days): Python 3.3.3 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-09-30 (+460 days): [commit ec3c103](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-10-11 (+471 days): Python 3.2.6 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-4073/>

1.1.51 `ssl.match_hostname()` IDNA issue

`ssl.match_hostname()`: sub string wildcard should not match IDNA prefix.

Change behavior of `ssl.match_hostname()` to follow RFC 6125, for security reasons. It now doesn't match multiple wildcards nor wildcards inside IDN fragments. Note that this function was only added to Python 2.7 in a backport to 2.7.9, and was added in its fixed form, so no releases of Python 2.7 have this vulnerability.

- Disclosure date: **2013-05-17** (Python issue bpo-17997 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.3.3** (2013-11-17) fixed by [commit 72c98d3 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2013-10-27)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 72c98d3 \(branch 3.3\)](#) (2013-10-27)

Python issue

`ssl.match_hostname()`: sub string wildcard should not match IDNA prefix.

- Python issue: [bpo-17997](#)
- Creation date: 2013-05-17
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

CVE-2013-7440

The `ssl.match_hostname` function in CPython (aka Python) before 2.7.9 and 3.x before 3.3.3 does not properly handle wildcards in hostnames, which might allow man-in-the-middle attackers to spoof servers via a crafted certificate.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2013-7440](#)
- Published: 2016-06-07
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2013-05-17** as reference:

- 2013-05-17: [Python issue bpo-17997](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2013-10-27 (**+163 days**): [commit 72c98d3 \(branch 3.3\)](#)
- 2013-11-17 (**+184 days**): Python 3.3.3 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2016-06-07 (**+1117 days**): [CVE-2013-7440](#) published

Links

- <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc6125>

1.1.52 `ssl.match_hostname()` wildcard DoS

If the name in the certificate contains many `*` characters (wildcard), matching the compiled regular expression against the host name can take a very long time.

Certificate validation happens before host name checking, so I think this is a minor issue only because it can only be triggered in cooperation with a CA (which seems unlikely).

- Disclosure date: **2013-05-15** (Python issue bpo-17980 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit 86d53ca \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2013-05-18)
- Python **3.3.3** (2013-11-17) fixed by [commit 86d53ca \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2013-05-18)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 86d53ca \(branch 3.2\)](#) (2013-05-18)

Python issue

CVE-2013-2099 `ssl.match_hostname()` trips over crafted wildcard names.

- Python issue: [bpo-17980](#)
- Creation date: 2013-05-15
- Reporter: Florian Weimer

CVE-2013-2099

Algorithmic complexity vulnerability in the `ssl.match_hostname` function in Python 3.2.x, 3.3.x, and earlier, and unspecified versions of `python-backports-ssl_match_hostname` as used for older Python versions, allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (CPU consumption) via multiple wildcard characters in the common name in a certificate.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2013-2099](#)
- Published: 2013-10-09
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2013-05-15** as reference:

- 2013-05-15: [Python issue bpo-17980](#) reported by Florian Weimer
- 2013-05-18 (+**3 days**): [commit 86d53ca \(branch 3.2\)](#)
- 2013-10-09 (+**147 days**): CVE-2013-2099 published
- 2013-11-17 (+**186 days**): Python 3.3.3 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-10-11 (+**514 days**): Python 3.2.6 released

1.1.53 Limit `imaplib.IMAP4_SSL.readline()`

The `imaplib` module doesn't limit the amount of read data in its call to `IMAP4_SSL.readline()`. An erroneous or malicious IMAP server can trick the `imaplib` module to consume large amounts of memory.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16039](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.16** (2019-03-02) fixed by [commit 16d6320 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2018-12-12)

Python issue

imaplib: unlimited readline() from connection.

- Python issue: [bpo-16039](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16039](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2018-12-12 (+2269 days): [commit 16d6320](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2019-03-02 (+2349 days): Python 2.7.16 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.54 ftplib unlimited read

ftplib: unlimited read from connection.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16038](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.6** (2013-11-10) fixed by [commit 2585e1e](#) (branch 2.7) (2013-10-20)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit c9cb18d](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.3.3** (2013-11-17) fixed by [commit c30b178](#) (branch 3.3) (2013-10-20)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit c30b178](#) (branch 3.3) (2013-10-20)

Python issue

ftplib: unlimited readline() from connection.

- Python issue: [bpo-16038](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16038](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2013-10-20 (+**390 days**): [commit 2585e1e](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2013-10-20 (+**390 days**): [commit c30b178](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2013-11-10 (+**411 days**): Python 2.7.6 released
- 2013-11-17 (+**418 days**): Python 3.3.3 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-09-30 (+**735 days**): [commit c9cb18d](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-10-11 (+**746 days**): Python 3.2.6 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2013-1752>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.55 nntplib unlimited read

Unlimited read from connection in nntplib.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16040](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.9** (2013-10-29) fixed by [commit 42faa55](#) (branch 2.7) (2013-09-30)
- Python **2.7.6** (2013-11-10) fixed by [commit 42faa55](#) (branch 2.7) (2013-09-30)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit b3ac843](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-10-12)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit b3ac843](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-10-12)
- Python **3.4.3** (2015-02-23) fixed by [commit b3ac843](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-10-12)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit b3ac843](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-10-12)

Python issue

nntplib: unlimited readline() from connection.

- Python issue: [bpo-16040](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16040](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2013-09-30 (+**370 days**): [commit 42faa55](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2013-10-29 (+**399 days**): Python 2.6.9 released
- 2013-11-10 (+**411 days**): Python 2.7.6 released
- 2014-10-11 (+**746 days**): Python 3.2.6 released
- 2014-10-12 (+**747 days**): [commit b3ac843](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2015-02-23 (+**881 days**): Python 3.4.3 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2017-09-19 (+**1820 days**): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2013-1752>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.56 poplib unlimited read

poplib: unlimited read from connection.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16041](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.9** (2014-12-10) fixed by [commit faad6bb](#) (branch 2.7) (2014-12-06)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit eaca861](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit eaca861](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.4.3** (2015-02-23) fixed by [commit eaca861](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit eaca861](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)

Python issue

poplib: unlimited readline() from connection.

- Python issue: [bpo-16041](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16041](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2014-09-30 (+735 days): [commit eaca861](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-10-11 (+746 days): Python 3.2.6 released
- 2014-12-06 (+802 days): [commit faad6bb](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-12-10 (+806 days): Python 2.7.9 released
- 2015-02-23 (+881 days): Python 3.4.3 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2017-09-19 (+1820 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2013-1752>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.57 smtpplib unlimited read

The `smtpplib` module doesn't limit the amount of read data in its call to `readline()`. An erroneous or malicious SMTP server can trick the `smtpplib` module to consume large amounts of memory.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16042](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.9** (2014-12-10) fixed by [commit dabfc56](#) (branch 2.7) (2014-12-06)
- Python **3.2.6** (2014-10-11) fixed by [commit 210ee47](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit 210ee47](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.4.3** (2015-02-23) fixed by [commit 210ee47](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit 210ee47](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-09-30)

Python issue

`smtpplib`: unlimited `readline()` from connection.

- Python issue: [bpo-16042](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16042](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2014-09-30 (+735 days): [commit 210ee47](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-10-11 (+746 days): Python 3.2.6 released
- 2014-12-06 (+802 days): [commit dabfc56](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-12-10 (+806 days): Python 2.7.9 released
- 2015-02-23 (+881 days): Python 3.4.3 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2017-09-19 (+1820 days): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2013-1752>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.58 xmlrpc gzip unlimited read

Add a default limit for the amount of data `xmlrpclib.gzip_decode()` will return.

- Disclosure date: **2012-09-25** (Python issue [bpo-16043](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.9** (2014-12-10) fixed by [commit 9e8f523](#) (branch 2.7) (2014-12-06)
- Python **3.3.7** (2017-09-19) fixed by [commit 4e9cefa](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-12-06)
- Python **3.4.3** (2015-02-23) fixed by [commit 4e9cefa](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-12-06)
- Python **3.5.0** (2015-09-09) fixed by [commit 4e9cefa](#) (branch 3.2) (2014-12-06)

Python issue

xmlrpc: `gzip_decode` has unlimited read().

- Python issue: [bpo-16043](#)
- Creation date: 2012-09-25
- Reporter: Christian Heimes

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-09-25** as reference:

- 2012-09-25: Python issue [bpo-16043](#) reported by Christian Heimes
- 2014-12-06 (+**802 days**): [commit 4e9cefa](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2014-12-06 (+**802 days**): [commit 9e8f523](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2014-12-10 (+**806 days**): Python 2.7.9 released
- 2015-02-23 (+**881 days**): Python 3.4.3 released
- 2015-09-09: Python 3.5.0 released
- 2017-09-19 (+**1820 days**): Python 3.3.7 released

Links

- <https://access.redhat.com/security/cve/cve-2013-1753>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1753/>

1.1.59 Hash function not randomized properly

The hash function is not randomized properly.

Python 3.4 now used SipHash (PEP 456).

Python 3.3 and Python 2.7 are still affected.

- Disclosure date: **2012-04-19** (Python issue [bpo-14621](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 985ecdc](#) (branch 3.4) (2013-11-20)

Python issue

Hash function is not randomized properly.

- Python issue: [bpo-14621](#)
- Creation date: 2012-04-19
- Reporter: Vlado Boza

CVE-2013-7040

Python 2.7 before 3.4 only uses the last eight bits of the prefix to randomize hash values, which causes it to compute hash values without restricting the ability to trigger hash collisions predictably and makes it easier for context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (CPU consumption) via crafted input to an application that maintains a hash table. NOTE: this vulnerability exists because of an incomplete fix for CVE-2012-1150.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2013-7040](#)
- Published: 2014-05-19

- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-04-19** as reference:

- 2012-04-19: Python issue [bpo-14621](#) reported by Vlado Boza
- 2013-11-20 (+580 days): [commit 985ecdc](#) (branch 3.4)
- 2014-03-16 (+696 days): Python 3.4.0 released
- 2014-05-19 (+760 days): CVE-2013-7040 published

1.1.60 Vulnerability in the utf-16 decoder after error handling

Vulnerability in the UTF-16 decoder after error handling.

- Disclosure date: **2012-04-14**

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.4** (2013-04-06) fixed by [commit 715a63b](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-07-20)
- Python **3.2.4** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit 715a63b](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-07-20)
- Python **3.3.0** (2012-09-29) fixed by [commit b4bbee2](#) (branch 3.3) (2012-07-20)

Python issue

CVE-2012-2135: Vulnerability in the utf-16 decoder after error handling.

- Python issue: [bpo-14579](#)
- Creation date: 2012-04-14
- Reporter: Serhiy Storchaka

CVE-2012-2135

The utf-16 decoder in Python 3.1 through 3.3 does not update the `aligned_end` variable after calling the `unicode_decode_call_errorhandler` function, which allows remote attackers to obtain sensitive information (process memory) or cause a denial of service (memory corruption and crash) via unspecified vectors.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2012-2135](#)
- Published: 2012-08-14
- CVSS Score: 6.4

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-04-14** as reference:

- 2012-04-14: Disclosure date
- 2012-04-14 (+0 days): Python issue [bpo-14579](#) reported by Serhiy Storchaka

- 2012-07-20 (+97 days): [commit 715a63b](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2012-07-20 (+97 days): [commit b4bbee2](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2012-08-14 (+122 days): CVE-2012-2135 published
- 2012-09-29: Python 3.3.0 released
- 2013-04-06 (+357 days): Python 2.7.4 released
- 2013-04-07 (+358 days): Python 3.2.4 released

1.1.61 XML-RPC DoS

A denial of service flaw was found in the way Simple XML-RPC Server module of Python processed client connections, that were closed prior the complete request body has been received. A remote attacker could use this flaw to cause Python Simple XML-RPC based server process to consume excessive amount of CPU.

- Disclosure date: **2012-02-13** (Python issue [bpo-14001](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.8** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit 66f3cc6](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-02-18)
- Python **2.7.3** (2012-04-09) fixed by [commit 66f3cc6](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-02-18)
- Python **3.1.5** (2012-04-08) fixed by [commit ec1712a](#) (branch 3.2) (2012-02-18)
- Python **3.2.3** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit ec1712a](#) (branch 3.2) (2012-02-18)
- Python **3.3.0** (2012-09-29) fixed by [commit ec1712a](#) (branch 3.2) (2012-02-18)

Python issue

CVE-2012-0845 Python v2.7.2 / v3.2.2 (SimpleXMLRPCServer): DoS (excessive CPU usage) by processing malformed XMLRPC / HTTP POST request.

- Python issue: [bpo-14001](#)
- Creation date: 2012-02-13
- Reporter: Jan Lieskovsky

CVE-2012-0845

SimpleXMLRPCServer.py in SimpleXMLRPCServer in Python before 2.6.8, 2.7.x before 2.7.3, 3.x before 3.1.5, and 3.2.x before 3.2.3 allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (infinite loop and CPU consumption) via an XML-RPC POST request that contains a smaller amount of data than specified by the Content-Length header.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2012-0845](#)
- Published: 2012-10-05
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-02-13** as reference:

- 2012-02-13: Python issue [bpo-14001](#) reported by Jan Lieskovsky
- 2012-02-18 (+5 days): [commit 66f3cc6](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2012-02-18 (+5 days): [commit ec1712a](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2012-04-08 (+55 days): Python 3.1.5 released
- 2012-04-09 (+56 days): Python 2.7.3 released
- 2012-04-10 (+57 days): Python 2.6.8 released
- 2012-04-10 (+57 days): Python 3.2.3 released
- 2012-09-29: Python 3.3.0 released
- 2012-10-05 (+235 days): CVE-2012-0845 published

1.1.62 ssl CBC IV attack

The `ssl` module would always disable the CBC IV attack countermeasure. Disable OpenSSL `SSL_OP_DONT_INSERT_EMPTY_FRAGMENTS` option.

- Disclosure date: **2012-01-27** (Python issue [bpo-13885](#) reported)
- Reported by: Apple security team

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.8** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit d358e05](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-01-27)
- Python **2.7.3** (2012-04-09) fixed by [commit d358e05](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-01-27)
- Python **3.1.5** (2012-04-08) fixed by [commit f2bf8a6](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-01-27)
- Python **3.2.3** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit f2bf8a6](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-01-27)
- Python **3.3.0** (2012-09-29) fixed by [commit f2bf8a6](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-01-27)

Python issue

CVE-2011-3389: `_ssl` module always disables the CBC IV attack countermeasure.

- Python issue: [bpo-13885](#)
- Creation date: 2012-01-27
- Reporter: Antoine Pitrou

CVE-2011-3389

The SSL protocol, as used in certain configurations in Microsoft Windows and Microsoft Internet Explorer, Mozilla Firefox, Google Chrome, Opera, and other products, encrypts data by using CBC mode with chained initialization vectors, which allows man-in-the-middle attackers to obtain plaintext HTTP headers via a blockwise chosen-boundary attack (BCBA) on an HTTPS session, in conjunction with JavaScript code that uses (1) the HTML5 WebSocket API, (2) the Java URLConnection API, or (3) the Silverlight WebClient API, aka a “BEAST” attack.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2011-3389](#)
- Published: 2011-09-06
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2012-01-27** as reference:

- 2011-09-06 (**-143 days**): CVE-2011-3389 published
- 2012-01-27: [Python issue bpo-13885](#) reported by Antoine Pitrou
- 2012-01-27 (**+0 days**): [commit d358e05](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2012-01-27 (**+0 days**): [commit f2bf8a6](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2012-04-08 (**+72 days**): Python 3.1.5 released
- 2012-04-09 (**+73 days**): Python 2.7.3 released
- 2012-04-10 (**+74 days**): Python 2.6.8 released
- 2012-04-10 (**+74 days**): Python 3.2.3 released
- 2012-09-29: Python 3.3.0 released

1.1.63 Hash DoS

Hash collision denial of service.

Python 2.7 and older and Python 3.2 and older require the `-R` command line option to enable the enable hash function randomization. Randomization is enabled by default since Python 3.3 (the `-R` option is ignored).

“Effective Denial of Service attacks against web application platforms” talk at the CCC: 2011-12-28

See also the [PEP 456: Secure and interchangeable hash algorithm](#): Python 3.4 switched to SipHash.

- Ruby: CRuby 1.9 fixed the vulnerability in 2008 with randomized hash function; JRuby has also been fixed.
- Perl: Perl 5.8.1 fixed the vulnerability in 2003 using a random “`PERL_HASH_SEED`”.
- Disclosure date: **2011-12-28** (CCC talk)
- Reported by: Alexander “alech” Klink and Julian “zeri” Wälde

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.8** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit 1e13eb0](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-02-21)
- Python **2.7.3** (2012-04-09) fixed by [commit 1e13eb0](#) (branch 2.6) (2012-02-21)
- Python **3.1.5** (2012-04-08) fixed by [commit 2daf6ae](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-02-20)
- Python **3.2.3** (2012-04-10) fixed by [commit 2daf6ae](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-02-20)
- Python **3.3.0** (2012-09-29) fixed by [commit 2daf6ae](#) (branch 2.7) (2012-02-20)

Python issue

Hash collision security issue.

- Python issue: [bpo-13703](#)
- Creation date: 2012-01-03
- Reporter: Barry A. Warsaw

CVE-2012-1150

Python before 2.6.8, 2.7.x before 2.7.3, 3.x before 3.1.5, and 3.2.x before 3.2.3 computes hash values without restricting the ability to trigger hash collisions predictably, which allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (CPU consumption) via crafted input to an application that maintains a hash table.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2012-1150](#)
- Published: 2012-10-05
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2011-12-28** as reference:

- 2011-12-28: Disclosure date (CCC talk)
- 2012-01-03 (+6 days): Python issue [bpo-13703](#) reported by Barry A. Warsaw
- 2012-02-20 (+54 days): [commit 2daf6ae](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2012-02-21 (+55 days): [commit 1e13eb0](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2012-04-08 (+102 days): Python 3.1.5 released
- 2012-04-09 (+103 days): Python 2.7.3 released
- 2012-04-10 (+104 days): Python 2.6.8 released
- 2012-04-10 (+104 days): Python 3.2.3 released
- 2012-09-29: Python 3.3.0 released
- 2012-10-05 (+282 days): CVE-2012-1150 published

Links

- <https://events.ccc.de/congress/2011/Fahrplan/events/4680.en.html>
- <http://www.ocert.org/advisories/ocert-2011-003.html>

1.1.64 pypirc created insecurely

Python 2.6 through 3.2 creates `~/.pypirc` configuration file with world-readable permissions before changing them after data has been written, which introduces a race condition that allows local users to obtain a username and password by reading this file.

- Disclosure date: **2011-11-30** (Python issue [bpo-13512](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.4** (2013-04-06) fixed by [commit e5567cc \(branch 2.6\)](#) (2012-07-03)
- Python **3.2.4** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit e5567cc \(branch 2.6\)](#) (2012-07-03)
- Python **3.3.1** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit e5567cc \(branch 2.6\)](#) (2012-07-03)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit e5567cc \(branch 2.6\)](#) (2012-07-03)

Python issue

~/.pypirc created insecurely.

- Python issue: [bpo-13512](#)
- Creation date: 2011-11-30
- Reporter: Vincent Danen

CVE-2011-4944

Python 2.6 through 3.2 creates ~/.pypirc with world-readable permissions before changing them after data has been written, which introduces a race condition that allows local users to obtain a username and password by reading this file.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2011-4944](#)
- Published: 2012-08-27
- CVSS Score: 1.9

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2011-11-30** as reference:

- 2011-11-30: [Python issue bpo-13512](#) reported by Vincent Danen
- 2012-07-03 (**+216 days**): [commit e5567cc \(branch 2.6\)](#)
- 2012-08-27 (**+271 days**): [CVE-2011-4944](#) published
- 2013-04-06 (**+493 days**): Python 2.7.4 released
- 2013-04-07 (**+494 days**): Python 3.2.4 released
- 2013-04-07 (**+494 days**): Python 3.3.1 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released

1.1.65 urllib redirect

The Python urllib and urllib2 modules are typically used to fetch web pages but by default also contains handlers for `ftp://` and `file://` URL schemes.

Now unfortunately it appears that it is possible for a web server to redirect (HTTP 302) a urllib request to any of the supported schemes.

- Disclosure date: **2011-03-24** (Python issue [bpo-11662](#) reported)

- Reported by: email received on the Python security list

Fixed In

- Python **2.5.6** (2011-05-26) fixed by [commit 60a4a90 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-24)
- Python **2.6.7** (2011-06-03) fixed by [commit 60a4a90 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-24)
- Python **2.7.2** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit 60a4a90 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-24)
- Python **3.1.4** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit a119df9 \(branch 3.1\)](#) (2011-03-29)
- Python **3.2.1** (2011-07-10) fixed by [commit a119df9 \(branch 3.1\)](#) (2011-03-29)
- Python **3.3.0** (2012-09-29) fixed by [commit a119df9 \(branch 3.1\)](#) (2011-03-29)

Python issue

Redirect vulnerability in urllib/urllib2.

- Python issue: [bpo-11662](#)
- Creation date: 2011-03-24
- Reporter: Guido van Rossum

CVE-2011-1521

The urllib and urllib2 modules in Python 2.x before 2.7.2 and 3.x before 3.2.1 process Location headers that specify redirection to file: URLs, which makes it easier for remote attackers to obtain sensitive information or cause a denial of service (resource consumption) via a crafted URL, as demonstrated by the [file:///etc/passwd](#) and [file:///dev/zero](#) URLs.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2011-1521](#)
- Published: 2011-05-24
- CVSS Score: 6.4

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2011-03-24** as reference:

- 2011-03-24: [Python issue bpo-11662](#) reported by Guido van Rossum
- 2011-03-24 (+0 days): [commit 60a4a90 \(branch 2.5\)](#)
- 2011-03-29 (+5 days): [commit a119df9 \(branch 3.1\)](#)
- 2011-05-24 (+61 days): CVE-2011-1521 published
- 2011-05-26 (+63 days): Python 2.5.6 released
- 2011-06-03 (+71 days): Python 2.6.7 released
- 2011-06-11 (+79 days): Python 2.7.2 released
- 2011-06-11 (+79 days): Python 3.1.4 released
- 2011-07-10 (+108 days): Python 3.2.1 released
- 2012-09-29: Python 3.3.0 released

1.1.66 SimpleHTTPServer UTF-7

The `list_directory()` function in `Lib/SimpleHTTPServer.py` in `SimpleHTTPServer` in Python before 2.5.6c1, 2.6.x before 2.6.7 rc2, and 2.7.x before 2.7.2 does not place a `charset` parameter in the Content-Type HTTP header, which makes it easier for remote attackers to conduct cross-site scripting (XSS) attacks against Internet Explorer 7 via UTF-7 encoding.

- Disclosure date: **2011-03-08** (Python issue [bpo-11442](#) reported)
- Reported by: email received on the Python security list

Fixed In

- Python **2.5.6** (2011-05-26) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)
- Python **2.6.7** (2011-06-03) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)
- Python **2.7.2** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)
- Python **3.2.4** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)
- Python **3.3.1** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2011-03-17)

Python issue

`list_directory()` in `SimpleHTTPServer.py` should add `charset=...` to Content-type header.

- Python issue: [bpo-11442](#)
- Creation date: 2011-03-08
- Reporter: Guido van Rossum

CVE-2011-4940

The `list_directory` function in `Lib/SimpleHTTPServer.py` in `SimpleHTTPServer` in Python before 2.5.6c1, 2.6.x before 2.6.7 rc2, and 2.7.x before 2.7.2 does not place a `charset` parameter in the Content-Type HTTP header, which makes it easier for remote attackers to conduct cross-site scripting (XSS) attacks against Internet Explorer 7 via UTF-7 encoding.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2011-4940](#)
- Published: 2012-06-27
- CVSS Score: 2.6

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2011-03-08** as reference:

- 2011-03-08: [Python issue bpo-11442](#) reported by Guido van Rossum
- 2011-03-17 (+9 days): [commit 3853586 \(branch 2.5\)](#)
- 2011-05-26 (+79 days): Python 2.5.6 released
- 2011-06-03 (+87 days): Python 2.6.7 released

- 2011-06-11 (+95 days): Python 2.7.2 released
- 2012-06-27 (+477 days): CVE-2011-4940 published
- 2013-04-07 (+761 days): Python 3.2.4 released
- 2013-04-07 (+761 days): Python 3.3.1 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released

1.1.67 audioop integer overflows

Multiple integer overflows in `audioop.c` in the `audioop` module in Python 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, and 3.2 allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (application crash) via a large fragment, as demonstrated by a call to `audioop.lin2lin` with a long string in the first argument, leading to a buffer overflow.

NOTE: this vulnerability exists because of an incorrect fix for CVE-2008-3143.

- Disclosure date: **2010-05-10** (Python issue [bpo-8674](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.6** (2010-08-24) fixed by [commit 7ceb497](#) (branch 2.6) (2010-05-11)
- Python **2.7.0** (2010-07-03) fixed by [commit 11bb2cd](#) (branch 2.7) (2010-05-11)
- Python **3.1.3** (2010-11-27) fixed by [commit ee289e6](#) (branch 3.1) (2010-05-11)
- Python **3.2.0** (2011-02-20) fixed by [commit 393b97a](#) (branch 3.2) (2010-05-11)

Python issue

`audioop`: incorrect integer overflow checks.

- Python issue: [bpo-8674](#)
- Creation date: 2010-05-10
- Reporter: Tomas Hoger

CVE-2010-1634

Multiple integer overflows in `audioop.c` in the `audioop` module in Python 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, and 3.2 allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (application crash) via a large fragment, as demonstrated by a call to `audioop.lin2lin` with a long string in the first argument, leading to a buffer overflow. NOTE: this vulnerability exists because of an incorrect fix for CVE-2008-3143.5.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-1634](#)
- Published: 2010-05-27
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2010-05-10** as reference:

- 2010-05-10: Python issue [bpo-8674](#) reported by Tomas Hoger
- 2010-05-11 (+1 days): [commit 11bb2cd](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2010-05-11 (+1 days): [commit 393b97a](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2010-05-11 (+1 days): [commit 7ceb497](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2010-05-11 (+1 days): [commit ee289e6](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2010-05-27 (+17 days): CVE-2010-1634 published
- 2010-07-03: Python 2.7.0 released
- 2010-08-24 (+106 days): Python 2.6.6 released
- 2010-11-27 (+201 days): Python 3.1.3 released
- 2011-02-20: Python 3.2.0 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-3143/>

1.1.68 audiopop input validation

The `audiopop` module in Python 2.7 and 3.2 does not verify the relationships between size arguments and byte string lengths, which allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (memory corruption and application crash) via crafted arguments, as demonstrated by a call to `audiopop.reverse()` with a one-byte string, a different vulnerability than CVE-2010-1634.

- Disclosure date: **2010-01-11** (Python issue [bpo-7673](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.6** (2010-08-24) fixed by [commit e9123ef](#) (branch 2.6) (2010-07-03)
- Python **2.7.2** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit e9123ef](#) (branch 2.6) (2010-07-03)
- Python **3.1.3** (2010-11-27) fixed by [commit 8e42fb7](#) (branch 3.1) (2010-07-03)
- Python **3.2.0** (2011-02-20) fixed by [commit bc5c54b](#) (branch 3.2) (2010-07-03)

Python issue

`audiopop`: check that length is a multiple of the size.

- Python issue: [bpo-7673](#)
- Creation date: 2010-01-11
- Reporter: STINNER Victor

CVE-2010-2089

The audiop module in Python 2.7 and 3.2 does not verify the relationships between size arguments and byte string lengths, which allows context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (memory corruption and application crash) via crafted arguments, as demonstrated by a call to `audiop.reverse` with a one-byte string, a different vulnerability than CVE-2010-1634.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-2089](#)
- Published: 2010-05-27
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2010-01-11** as reference:

- 2010-01-11: [Python issue bpo-7673](#) reported by STINNER Victor
- 2010-05-27 (+136 days): CVE-2010-2089 published
- 2010-07-03 (+173 days): [commit 8e42fb7](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2010-07-03 (+173 days): [commit bc5c54b](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2010-07-03 (+173 days): [commit e9123ef](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2010-08-24 (+225 days): Python 2.6.6 released
- 2010-11-27 (+320 days): Python 3.1.3 released
- 2011-02-20: Python 3.2.0 released
- 2011-06-11 (+516 days): Python 2.7.2 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2010-1634/>

1.1.69 httplib unlimited read

Limit the HTTP header readline.

- Disclosure date: **2009-08-28** (Python issue [bpo-6791](#) reported)
- Red Hat impact: Moderate

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.2** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit d7b6ac6](#) (branch 2.7) (2010-12-18)
- Python **3.1.4** (2011-06-11) fixed by [commit ff1bbba](#) (branch 3.2) (2010-12-18)
- Python **3.2.0** (2011-02-20) fixed by [commit 5466bf1](#) (branch 3.3) (2010-12-18)

Python issue

httplib read status memory usage.

- Python issue: [bpo-6791](#)
- Creation date: 2009-08-28
- Reporter: sumar

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2009-08-28** as reference:

- 2009-08-28: Python issue [bpo-6791](#) reported by sumar
- 2010-12-18 (+477 days): [commit 5466bf1](#) (branch 3.3)
- 2010-12-18 (+477 days): [commit d7b6ac6](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2010-12-18 (+477 days): [commit ff1bbba](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2011-02-20: Python 3.2.0 released
- 2011-06-11 (+652 days): Python 2.7.2 released
- 2011-06-11 (+652 days): Python 3.1.4 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2013-1752/>

1.1.70 smtpd accept bug and race condition

CVE-2010-3492: The `asyncore` module in Python before 3.2 does not properly handle unsuccessful calls to the `accept` function, and does not have accompanying documentation describing how daemon applications should handle unsuccessful calls to the `accept` function, which makes it easier for remote attackers to conduct denial of service attacks that terminate these applications via network connections.

CVE-2010-3493: Multiple race conditions in `smtpd.py` in the `smtpd` module in Python 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, and 3.2 alpha allow remote attackers to cause a denial of service (daemon outage) by establishing and then immediately closing a TCP connection, leading to the `accept` function having an unexpected return value of `None`, an unexpected value of `None` for the address, or an `ECONNABORTED`, `EAGAIN`, or `EWOULDBLOCK` error, or the `getpeername` function having an `ENOTCONN` error, a related issue to CVE-2010-3492.

- Disclosure date: **2009-08-14** (Python issue [bpo-6706](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.1** (2010-11-27) fixed by [commit 19e9fef](#) (branch 2.7) (2010-11-01)
- Python **3.1.3** (2010-11-27) fixed by [commit 5ea3d0f](#) (branch 3.1) (2010-11-01)
- Python **3.2.0** (2011-02-20) fixed by [commit 977c707](#) (branch 3.2) (2010-10-04)

Python issue

asyncore's `accept()` is broken.

- Python issue: [bpo-6706](#)
- Creation date: 2009-08-14
- Reporter: Giampaolo Rodola'

CVE-2010-3492

The `asyncore` module in Python before 3.2 does not properly handle unsuccessful calls to the `accept` function, and does not have accompanying documentation describing how daemon applications should handle unsuccessful calls to the `accept` function, which makes it easier for remote attackers to conduct denial of service attacks that terminate these applications via network connections.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-3492](#)
- Published: 2010-10-19
- CVSS Score: 5.0

CVE-2010-3493

Multiple race conditions in `smtpd.py` in the `smtpd` module in Python 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, and 3.2 alpha allow remote attackers to cause a denial of service (daemon outage) by establishing and then immediately closing a TCP connection, leading to the `accept` function having an unexpected return value of `None`, an unexpected value of `None` for the address, or an `ECONNABORTED`, `EAGAIN`, or `EWOULDBLOCK` error, or the `getpeername` function having an `ENOTCONN` error, a related issue to [CVE-2010-3492](#).

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-3493](#)
- Published: 2010-10-19
- CVSS Score: 4.3

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2009-08-14** as reference:

- 2009-08-14: Python issue [bpo-6706](#) reported by Giampaolo Rodola'
- 2010-10-04 (+416 days): [commit 977c707](#) (branch 3.2)
- 2010-10-19 (+431 days): [CVE-2010-3492](#) published
- 2010-10-19 (+431 days): [CVE-2010-3493](#) published
- 2010-11-01 (+444 days): [commit 19e9fef](#) (branch 2.7)
- 2010-11-01 (+444 days): [commit 5ea3d0f](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2010-11-27 (+470 days): Python 2.7.1 released
- 2010-11-27 (+470 days): Python 3.1.3 released
- 2011-02-20: Python 3.2.0 released

Links

- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2010-3492/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2010-3493/>

1.1.71 Multiple integer overflows (Apple)

Security patches from Apple: prevent integer overflows when allocating memory.

CVE-ID:

- CVE-2008-1679 (imageop)
- CVE-2008-1721 (zlib)
- CVE-2008-1887 (PyString_FromStringAndSize())
- CVE-2008-2315
- CVE-2008-2316 (hashlib)
- CVE-2008-3142 (unicode_resize(), PyMem_RESIZE())
- CVE-2008-3144 (PyOS_vsnprintf())
- CVE-2008-4864 (imageop)
- Disclosure date: **2008-07-31** (commit)
- Reported by: Apple

Fixed In

- Python **2.6.0** (2008-10-01) fixed by [commit e7d8be8](#) (branch 2.6) (2008-07-31)
- Python **3.0.0** (2008-12-03) fixed by [commit 3ce5d92](#) (branch 2.7) (2008-08-24)

CVE-2008-1679

Multiple integer overflows in imageop.c in Python before 2.5.3 allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (crash) and possibly execute arbitrary code via crafted images that trigger heap-based buffer overflows. NOTE: this issue is due to an incomplete fix for CVE-2007-4965.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-1679](#)
- Published: 2008-04-22
- CVSS Score: 6.8

CVE-2008-1721

Integer signedness error in the zlib extension module in Python 2.5.2 and earlier allows remote attackers to execute arbitrary code via a negative signed integer, which triggers insufficient memory allocation and a buffer overflow.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-1721](#)
- Published: 2008-04-10
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2008-1887

Python 2.5.2 and earlier allows context-dependent attackers to execute arbitrary code via multiple vectors that cause a negative size value to be provided to the `PyString_FromStringAndSize` function, which allocates less memory than expected when `assert()` is disabled and triggers a buffer overflow.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-1887](#)
- Published: 2008-04-18
- CVSS Score: 9.3

CVE-2008-2315

Multiple integer overflows in Python 2.5.2 and earlier allow context-dependent attackers to have an unknown impact via vectors related to the (1) `stringobject`, (2) `unicodeobject`, (3) `bufferobject`, (4) `longobject`, (5) `tupleobject`, (6) `stropmodule`, (7) `gcmodule`, and (8) `mmapmodule` modules. NOTE: The `expandtabs` integer overflows in `stringobject` and `unicodeobject` in 2.5.2 are covered by CVE-2008-5031.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-2315](#)
- Published: 2008-08-01
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2008-2316

Integer overflow in `_hashopenssl.c` in the `hashlib` module in Python 2.5.2 and earlier might allow context-dependent attackers to defeat cryptographic digests, related to “partial hashlib hashing of data exceeding 4GB.”

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-2316](#)
- Published: 2008-08-01
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2008-3142

Multiple buffer overflows in Python 2.5.2 and earlier on 32bit platforms allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (crash) or have unspecified other impact via a long string that leads to incorrect memory allocation during Unicode string processing, related to the `unicode_resize` function and the `PyMem_RESIZE` macro.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-3142](#)
- Published: 2008-08-01
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2008-3144

Multiple integer overflows in the `PyOS_vsnprintf` function in `Python/mysnprintf.c` in Python 2.5.2 and earlier allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (memory corruption) or have unspecified other impact via crafted input to string formatting operations. NOTE: the handling of certain integer values is also affected by related integer underflows and an off-by-one error.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-3144](#)
- Published: 2008-08-01

- CVSS Score: 5.0

CVE-2008-4864

Multiple integer overflows in `imageop.c` in the `imageop` module in Python 1.5.2 through 2.5.1 allow context-dependent attackers to break out of the Python VM and execute arbitrary code via large integer values in certain arguments to the `crop` function, leading to a buffer overflow, a different vulnerability than CVE-2007-4965 and CVE-2008-1679.

- CVE ID: CVE-2008-4864
- Published: 2008-10-31
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2008-07-31** as reference:

- 2008-04-10 (-112 days): CVE-2008-1721 published
- 2008-04-18 (-104 days): CVE-2008-1887 published
- 2008-04-22 (-100 days): CVE-2008-1679 published
- 2008-07-31: Disclosure date (commit)
- 2008-07-31 (+0 days): commit `e7d8be8` (branch 2.6)
- 2008-08-01 (+1 days): CVE-2008-2315 published
- 2008-08-01 (+1 days): CVE-2008-2316 published
- 2008-08-01 (+1 days): CVE-2008-3142 published
- 2008-08-01 (+1 days): CVE-2008-3144 published
- 2008-08-24 (+24 days): commit `3ce5d92` (branch 2.7)
- 2008-10-01 (+62 days): Python 2.6.0 released
- 2008-10-31 (+92 days): CVE-2008-4864 published
- 2008-12-03: Python 3.0.0 released

Links

- <https://lists.apple.com/archives/security-announce/2009/Feb/msg00000.html>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-1679/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-1721/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-1887/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-2315/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-2316/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-3142/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-3144/>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-4864/>

1.1.72 Multiple integer overflows (Google)

Multiple integer overflows in Python before 2.5.2 might allow context-dependent attackers to have an unknown impact via vectors related to:

- Include/pymem.h
- Modules/:
 - _csv.c
 - _struct.c
 - arraymodule.c
 - audioop.c
 - binascii.c
 - cPickle.c
 - cStringIO.c
 - datetimemodule.c
 - md5.c
 - rgbimgmodule.c
 - stropmodule.c
- Modules/cjkcodecs/multibytecodec.c
- Objects/:
 - bufferobject.c
 - listobject.c
 - obmalloc.c
- Parser/node.c
- Python/:
 - asdl.c
 - ast.c
 - bltinmodule.c
 - compile

as addressed by “checks for integer overflows, contributed by Google.”

- Disclosure date: **2008-04-11** (Python issue bpo-2620 reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.5.3** (2008-12-19) fixed by [commit 83ac014](#) (branch 2.5) (2008-07-28)
- Python **2.6.0** (2008-10-01) fixed by [commit 0470bab](#) (branch 2.6) (2008-07-22)
- Python **3.0.0** (2008-12-03) fixed by [commit d492ad8](#) (branch 3.1) (2008-07-23)

Python issue

Multiple buffer overflows in unicode processing.

- Python issue: [bpo-2620](#)
- Creation date: 2008-04-11
- Reporter: Justin Ferguson

CVE-2008-3143

Multiple integer overflows in Python before 2.5.2 might allow context-dependent attackers to have an unknown impact via vectors related to (1) Include/pymem.h; (2) _csv.c, (3) _struct.c, (4) arraymodule.c, (5) audioop.c, (6) binascii.c, (7) cPickle.c, (8) cStringIO.c, (9) cjkcodecs/multibytecodec.c, (10) datetimemodule.c, (11) md5.c, (12) rgbimgmodule.c, and (13) stropmodule.c in Modules/; (14) bufferobject.c, (15) listobject.c, and (16) obmalloc.c in Objects/; (17) Parser/node.c; and (18) asdl.c, (19) ast.c, (20) bltinmodule.c, and (21) compile.c in Python/, as addressed by “checks for integer overflows, contributed by Google.”

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-3143](#)
- Published: 2008-08-01
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2008-04-11** as reference:

- 2008-04-11: [Python issue bpo-2620](#) reported by Justin Ferguson
- 2008-07-22 (+102 days): [commit 0470bab](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2008-07-23 (+103 days): [commit d492ad8](#) (branch 3.1)
- 2008-07-28 (+108 days): [commit 83ac014](#) (branch 2.5)
- 2008-08-01 (+112 days): [CVE-2008-3143](#) published
- 2008-10-01: Python 2.6.0 released
- 2008-12-03: Python 3.0.0 released
- 2008-12-19 (+252 days): Python 2.5.3 released

1.1.73 `expandtab()` integer overflow

Multiple integer overflows in Python 2.2.3 through 2.5.1, and 2.6, allow context-dependent attackers to have an unknown impact via a large integer value in the `tabsize` argument to the `expandtabs` method, as implemented by:

- the `string_expandtabs()` function in `Objects/stringobject.c`
- the `unicode_expandtabs()` function in `Objects/unicodeobject.c`

NOTE: this vulnerability reportedly exists because of an incomplete fix for [CVE-2008-2315](#).

- Disclosure date: **2008-03-11** (commit date)
- Reported by: Chris Evans

Fixed In

- Python **2.5.3** (2008-12-19) fixed by [commit 44a93e5](#) ([branch 2.5](#)) (2008-03-11)
- Python **2.6.0** (2008-10-01) fixed by [commit 5bdff60](#) ([branch 2.6](#)) (2008-03-11)
- Python **3.0.0** (2008-12-03) fixed by [commit dd15f6c](#) ([branch 3.0](#)) (2008-03-16)

CVE-2008-5031

Multiple integer overflows in Python 2.2.3 through 2.5.1, and 2.6, allow context-dependent attackers to have an unknown impact via a large integer value in the `tabsize` argument to the `expandtabs` method, as implemented by (1) the `string_expandtabs` function in `Objects/stringobject.c` and (2) the `unicode_expandtabs` function in `Objects/unicodeobject.c`. NOTE: this vulnerability reportedly exists because of an incomplete fix for CVE-2008-2315.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2008-5031](#)
- Published: 2008-11-10
- CVSS Score: 10.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2008-03-11** as reference:

- 2008-03-11: Disclosure date (commit date)
- 2008-03-11 (**+0 days**): [commit 44a93e5](#) ([branch 2.5](#))
- 2008-03-11 (**+0 days**): [commit 5bdff60](#) ([branch 2.6](#))
- 2008-03-16 (**+5 days**): [commit dd15f6c](#) ([branch 3.0](#))
- 2008-10-01: Python 2.6.0 released
- 2008-11-10 (**+244 days**): CVE-2008-5031 published
- 2008-12-03: Python 3.0.0 released
- 2008-12-19 (**+283 days**): Python 2.5.3 released

Links

- <http://scary.beasts.org/security/CESA-2008-008.html>
- <https://www.cvedetails.com/cve/CVE-2008-2315/>

1.1.74 CGI directory traversal (`is_cgi()` function)

The `is_cgi()` method in `CGIHTTPServer.py` in the `CGIHTTPServer` module in Python 2.5, 2.6, and 3.0 allows remote attackers to read script source code via an HTTP GET request that lacks a `/` (slash) character at the beginning of the URI.

- Disclosure date: **2008-03-07** (Python issue [bpo-2254](#) reported)

Fixed In

- Python **2.7.0** (2010-07-03) fixed by [commit 923ba36 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2009-04-06)
- Python **3.2.4** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit 923ba36 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2009-04-06)
- Python **3.3.1** (2013-04-07) fixed by [commit 923ba36 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2009-04-06)
- Python **3.4.0** (2014-03-16) fixed by [commit 923ba36 \(branch 2.7\)](#) (2009-04-06)

Python issue

Python CGIHTTPServer information disclosure.

- Python issue: [bpo-2254](#)
- Creation date: 2008-03-07
- Reporter: [sumar](#)

CVE-2011-1015

The `is_cgi` method in `CGIHTTPServer.py` in the `CGIHTTPServer` module in Python 2.5, 2.6, and 3.0 allows remote attackers to read script source code via an HTTP GET request that lacks a `/` (slash) character at the beginning of the URI.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2011-1015](#)
- Published: 2011-05-09
- CVSS Score: 5.0

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2008-03-07** as reference:

- 2008-03-07: [Python issue bpo-2254](#) reported by [sumar](#)
- 2009-04-06 (**+395 days**): [commit 923ba36 \(branch 2.7\)](#)
- 2010-07-03 (**+848 days**): Python 2.7.0 released
- 2011-05-09 (**+1158 days**): [CVE-2011-1015](#) published
- 2013-04-07 (**+1857 days**): Python 3.2.4 released
- 2013-04-07 (**+1857 days**): Python 3.3.1 released
- 2014-03-16: Python 3.4.0 released

1.1.75 `rbgimg` and `imageop` overflows

Multiple integer overflows in the `imageop` module in Python 2.5.1 and earlier allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (application crash) and possibly obtain sensitive information (memory contents) via crafted arguments to (1) the `tovideo()` method, and unspecified other vectors related to (2) `imageop.c`, (3) `rbgimgmodule.c`, and other files, which trigger heap-based buffer overflows.

Reported again by Marc Schoenefeld in the Red Hat bugzilla at 2009-11-26.

- Disclosure date: **2007-09-16** (full-disclosure email)

- Reported by: Slythers Bro (on the full-disclosure mailing list)

Fixed In

- Python **2.5.3** (2008-12-19) fixed by [commit 4df1b6d \(branch 2.5\)](#) (2008-08-19)
- Python **2.6.0** (2008-10-01) fixed by [commit 93ebfb1 \(branch 2.6\)](#) (2008-08-19)

Python issue

[CVE-2007-4965] Integer overflow in imageop module.

- Python issue: [bpo-1179](#)
- Creation date: 2007-09-19
- Reporter: Ismail Donmez

CVE-2007-4965

Multiple integer overflows in the imageop module in Python 2.5.1 and earlier allow context-dependent attackers to cause a denial of service (application crash) and possibly obtain sensitive information (memory contents) via crafted arguments to (1) the tovideo method, and unspecified other vectors related to (2) imageop.c, (3) rbgingmodule.c, and other files, which trigger heap-based buffer overflows.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2007-4965](#)
- Published: 2007-09-18
- CVSS Score: 5.8

CVE-2009-4134

Buffer underflow in the rbging module in Python 2.5 allows remote attackers to cause a denial of service (application crash) via a large ZSIZE value in a black-and-white (aka B/W) RGB image that triggers an invalid pointer dereference.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2009-4134](#)
- Published: 2010-05-27
- CVSS Score: 5.0

CVE-2010-1449

Integer overflow in rbgingmodule.c in the rbging module in Python 2.5 allows remote attackers to have an unspecified impact via a large image that triggers a buffer overflow. NOTE: this vulnerability exists because of an incomplete fix for CVE-2008-3143.12.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-1449](#)
- Published: 2010-05-27
- CVSS Score: 7.5

CVE-2010-1450

Multiple buffer overflows in the RLE decoder in the `rgbing` module in Python 2.5 allow remote attackers to have an unspecified impact via an image file containing crafted data that triggers improper processing within the (1) `longimagedata` or (2) `expandrow` function.

- CVE ID: [CVE-2010-1450](#)
- Published: 2010-05-27
- CVSS Score: 7.5

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2007-09-16** as reference:

- 2007-09-16: Disclosure date (full-disclosure email)
- 2007-09-18 (+2 days): CVE-2007-4965 published
- 2007-09-19 (+3 days): [Python issue bpo-1179](#) reported by Ismail Donmez
- 2008-08-19 (+338 days): [commit 4df1b6d](#) (branch 2.5)
- 2008-08-19 (+338 days): [commit 93ebfb1](#) (branch 2.6)
- 2008-10-01: Python 2.6.0 released
- 2008-12-19 (+460 days): Python 2.5.3 released
- 2010-05-27 (+984 days): CVE-2009-4134 published
- 2010-05-27 (+984 days): CVE-2010-1449 published
- 2010-05-27 (+984 days): CVE-2010-1450 published

Links

- <http://seclists.org/fulldisclosure/2007/Sep/279>
- <http://bugs.python.org/issue8678>
- https://bugzilla.redhat.com/show_bug.cgi?id=541698

1.2 Packages and PyPI

1.2.1 Check for known vulnerabilities

- <https://github.com/pyupio/safety-db> and <https://pyup.io/>
- `safety` package: Safety checks your installed dependencies for known security vulnerabilities.

1.2.2 GPG

- [Verifying PyPI and Conda Packages by Stuart Mumford \(2016-06-21\)](#)
- [Sign a package using GPG and Twine](#)

1.2.3 pip security

- pip: Implement “hook” support for package signature verification

1.2.4 PyPI

- PEP 458 – Surviving a Compromise of PyPI (27-Sep-2013)
- PEP 480 – Surviving a Compromise of PyPI: The Maximum Security Model (8-Oct-2014)
- Making PyPI security independent of SSL/TLS by Nick Coghlan

1.2.5 Vulnerabilites in the Package Index

Index Vulnerability: Unchecked File Deletion

Improper checking of ACLs would have allowed any authenticated user to delete any release file hosted on the Package Index by supplying its md5 to the `files` action in the `pypi-legacy` code base.

- Disclosure date: **2017-10-12** (Reported via security policy on pypi.org)
- Disclosed by: [Max Justicz](#)

Fixed In

- PyPI “Legacy Codebase” (2017-10-12) fixed by [commit 18200fa](#) (2017-10-12)

Audit

After mitigating the attack vector and deploying it, the responding Package Index maintainer worked to verify that no release files had been improperly removed using this exploit.

The Package Index maintains an audit log in the form of a “Journal” for all actions initiated. It was determined that exploitation of this attack vector would still remove files via the [existing interface](#) an audit log would still be [written](#).

Using this information, we were able to reconstruct the users with access to legitimately remove release files at point in time of each file removal [using the audit log](#).

The output of this script were used to determine that no malicious actors exploited this vulnerability. All flagged journal entries were related to one of the following scenarios:

- Username updates that were not properly updated in the Journal
- Administrator intervention to remove packages

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-10-12** as reference:

- 2017-10-12: Issue reported by [Max Justicz](#) following guidelines in security policy on pypi.org
- 2017-10-12 (**+0days**): Report investigated by [Ernest W. Durbin III](#) and determined to be exploitable
- 2017-10-12 (**+0days**): Fix implemented and deployed in [commit 18200fa](#)

- 2017-10-12 (+0days): The audit journals maintained by PyPI were used to reconstruct the full history of file removals to determine that no malicious deletions were performed.

PyPI credential exposure on GitHub

Introduction

A common mistake made by users is committing and publishing “dotfiles” containing private material such as passwords, API keys, or cryptographic keys to public repositories on services such as GitHub.

Compounding this issue, the Python packaging ecosystem historically and currently encourages—albeit with some level of caution—the use of a `.pypirc` file for storage of passwords consumption by packaging tools. For a summary of the dangers of this methodology, see [this article on securing PyPI credentials](#).

With ever strengthening search tools on GitHub attackers are able to formulate queries which quickly identify and obtain credentials from such hosting sites.

- Disclosure date: **2017-11-05** (Reported via security policy on [pypi.org](#))
- Disclosed by: Joachim Jablon

Report

The PyPI security team was notified by Joachim Jablon that `.pypirc` files containing valid PyPI credentials were obtainable with a straightforward search and scrape of GitHub.

Using tools developed by the reporter the PyPI security team was able to identify 77 valid PyPI logins in 85 public files published to GitHub. These 77 logins had maintainer or administrator access to 146 unique projects on PyPI.

Audit

Action Taken by PyPI team

The PyPI security team followed up by auditing and extending the Proof of Concept tools supplied by the reporter to verify the report.

After running the tooling against the full result set of the GitHub code search the PyPI administrators unset the passphrases for all valid logins found and issued an administrative password reset for exposed users.

Additionally an audit of PyPI’s journals showed no signs of malicious access for the exposed accounts.

The email sent to affected users took the form

```
From: admin@mail.pypi.python.org
To: {user['email']}
Subject: [Urgent] Your PyPI password has been reset

{username},

A security report recently identified that your PyPI login credentials were
exposed in a public code repository on github.com.

Please see the following links where your credentials were found:

{pypirc_links}
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
An initial audit of our journals found that {package_count} projects your
account has access to were potentially exposed but did not indicate any
malicious activity.
```

```
Packages:
```

```
{packages}
```

```
Please double check the audit logs at https://pypi.python.org after you have
reset your password and notify us if you identify any suspicious activity.
```

```
Also please reset your passwords anywhere else you may have used the password
exposed in the above links.
```

```
To reset your password, please visit {password_reset_link}.
```

```
Thanks,
PyPI Security Team
```

Recommendations

All users of PyPI should ensure that their PyPI login credentials are safe and have not been inadvertently exposed in a public repository of dotfiles, in the root of a project directory, or in some other public or shared medium.

The PyPI team does not have the resources to search or scrape all such services and may not have identified all forms of this exposure.

Additionally, reviewing the Audit Journal for your projects on pypi.python.org for suspicious activity is a good idea. If you identify any such activity, please report it per [our published security policy](#).

Timeline

Timeline using the disclosure date **2017-11-05** as reference:

- 2017-11-05 Issue reported by Joachim Jablon to a single member of the security team listed in our security policy on pypi.org
- 2017-11-08 (+**3days**): Issue reported by Joachim Jablon to an additional member of the security team listed in our security policy on pypi.org
- 2017-11-08 (+**3days**): Issue reported by Joachim Jablon to all members of the security team listed in our security policy on pypi.org
- 2017-11-08 (+**3days**): Report investigated by [Ernest W. Durbin III](#) and determined to be valid.
- 2017-11-09 (+**4days**): Administrative password resets issued.

Authentication Flaws in 2FA and API Tokens

Introduction

PyPI implemented 2FA and API Tokens in 2019 as part of funded work to better secure the service for Project Maintainers and Python users installing from the index.

Two flaws were identified in the authentication policies which allowed API Tokens and Basic Authentication to access resources they should not have had access to, additionally bypassing two factor authentication.

- Disclosure date: **2020-01-05** (Reported via security policy on pypi.org)
- Disclosed by: Joachim Jablon

Reported vulnerabilities

Web UI Authentication and 2FA bypass via API Tokens (Macaroons)

API tokens are advertised as only being valid for uploads, however by setting the appropriate header, `Authorization: token pypi-.....`, requests for arbitrary actions could be made with the equivalent of a standard session.

Thus leaked API tokens regardless of scope may have had a much bigger impact than advertised (uploading rogue releases vs deleting releases/projects or modifying user account components)

Initially resolved in: <https://github.com/pypa/warehouse/pull/7184>

Web UI 2FA bypass via Basic Auth

Similar to above, constructing and setting the appropriate header, `Authorization: Basic <base64>`, requests for arbitrary actions could be made with the equivalent of a standard session.

Thus, 2FA bypass was possible if an attacker had the username and password for a user.

Initially resolved in: <https://github.com/pypa/warehouse/pull/7186>

Assessment

We are unable to directly determine if either of these vulnerabilities were exploited. PyPI stores an Audit Log of events modifying user accounts and projects on the service. These log successful logins via the login form but were not configured to log authentication via other methods as they were assumed to be associated with package uploads only, which are logged separately.

Recommendations

Users are encouraged to review their [Account Security History](#) regularly to determine if any suspicious activity has taken place. If you identify any such activity, please report it per [our published security policy](#).

Timeline

- 2020-01-05 Issue reported by Joachim Jablon to security@python.org per PyPI security policy on pypi.org
- 2020-01-05 (+0days): Reports investigated by Ernest W. Durbin III and determined to be valid.
- 2020-01-05 (+0days): Fixes deployed and verified.

Upload endpoint CSRF vulnerability

Summary

A [Cross Site Request Forgery](#) vulnerability was discovered in the endpoint which accepts uploads to PyPI.

- Disclosure date: **2020-02-22** (Reported via security policy on [pypi.org](#))
- Disclosed by: Joachim Jablon

Reported vulnerability

Upload endpoint vulnerable to CSRF

Although PyPI implements CSRF protection for endpoints with side effects throughout the views and endpoints for the primary web user interface, that protection is not implemented for the upload endpoint at <https://upload.pypi.org/legacy/>. This endpoint is not intended for browsers, but rather clients such as [setuptools](#) and [twine](#) which do not authenticate using HTTP Sessions or Cookies.

The upload endpoint was misconfigured to accept HTTP Session authentication cookies from [pypi.org](#). Combined with intentional disabling of CSRF protection on this endpoint, an attacker could have constructed a form to trick PyPI users into uploading releases to PyPI.

Initially resolved in: <https://github.com/pypa/warehouse/pull/7432>

Assessment

We are unable to directly determine if this vulnerabilities was exploited. PyPI stores an Audit Log of events modifying user accounts and projects on the service. These log successful logins via the login form but were not configured to log authentication via other methods as they were assumed to be associated with package uploads only, which are logged separately.

Reccomendations

Users are encouraged to review their [Account Security History](#) regularly to determine if any suspicious activity has taken place. If you identify any such activity, please report it per [our published security policy](#).

Timeline

- 2020-02-22 Issue reported by Joachim Jablon to security@python.org per PyPI security policy on [pypi.org](#)
- 2020-02-23 (+1days): Report investigated by Ernest W. Durbin III and determined to be valid.
- 2020-02-24 (+2days): Fixes reviewd by PyPI administrators, deployed, and verified.

1.2.6 PyPI typo squatting

- [Typosquatting programming language package managers](#) by Nikolai Tschacher (8 June, 2016)
- [LWN: Typosquatting in package repositories](#) (July 20, 2016)
- [Building a botnet on PyPi](#) by Steve Stagg (May 19, 2017)

- warehouse bug (pypi.org): Block package names that conflict with core libraries (reported at June 28, 2017)
- 2017-09-09: skcsirt-sa-20170909-pypi-malicious-code advisory

fate0:

- 2017-05-27 04:38 - 2017-05-31 12:24 (5 days): 10,685 downloads
- May-June, 2017
- <https://mail.python.org/pipermail/distutils-sig/2017-June/030592.html>
- <http://blog.fatezero.org/2017/06/01/package-fishing/>
- <https://github.com/pypa/pypi-legacy/issues/644>
- <http://evilpackage.fatezero.org/>
- <https://github.com/fate0/cookiecutter-evilpy-package>
- Packages (this list needs to be validated):
 - caffe
 - ffmpeg
 - ftp
 - git
 - hbase
 - memcached
 - mkl
 - mongodb
 - opencv
 - openssl
 - phantomjs
 - proxy
 - pygpu
 - python-dev
 - rabbitmq
 - requirement.txt
 - requirements.txt
 - rrequirements.txt
 - samba
 - shadowsock
 - smb
 - tkinter
 - vtk
 - youtube-dl
 - zookeeper

- ztz
- ...

See also:

- pytosquatting.org project

Example of typos:

- `urllib`, `urllib2`: part of the standard library
- `urllib3` instead of `urllib3`

1.2.7 Links

- [The Update Framework \(TUF\)](#): Like the S in HTTPS, a plug-and-play library for securing a software updater.

1.3 Python SSL and TLS security

Evolutions of the `ssl` module.

1.3.1 Cipher suite

Python 2.7 and 3.5-3.7:

```
__DEFAULT_CIPHERS = (  
    'ECDH+AESGCM:ECDH+CHACHA20:DH+AESGCM:DH+CHACHA20:ECDH+AES256:DH+AES256:'  
    'ECDH+AES128:DH+AES:ECDH+HIGH:DH+HIGH:RSA+AESGCM:RSA+AES:RSA+HIGH:'  
    '!aNULL:!eNULL:!MD5:!3DES'  
)
```

Python 3.4:

```
__DEFAULT_CIPHERS = (  
    'ECDH+AESGCM:DH+AESGCM:ECDH+AES256:DH+AES256:ECDH+AES128:DH+AES:ECDH+HIGH:'  
    'DH+HIGH:ECDH+3DES:DH+3DES:RSA+AESGCM:RSA+AES:RSA+HIGH:RSA+3DES:!aNULL:'  
    '!eNULL:!MD5'  
)
```

Python 3.3:

```
__DEFAULT_CIPHERS = 'DEFAULT:!aNULL:!eNULL:!LOW:!EXPORT:!SSLv2'
```

1.3.2 Options

- `SSL_OP_DONT_INSERT_EMPTY_FRAGMENTS`: CBC IV attack countermeasure (CVE-2011-3389)
- `SSL_OP_NO_SSLv2`: SSLv2 is unsafe
- `SSL_OP_NO_SSLv3`: SSLv3 is unsafe
- `SSL_OP_NO_COMPRESSION`: **CRIME** countermeasure
- `SSL_OP_CIPHER_SERVER_PREFERENCE`

- `SSL_OP_SINGLE_DH_USE`
- `SSL_OP_SINGLE_ECDH_USE`

Python 3.7:

```

/* Defaults */
options = SSL_OP_ALL & ~SSL_OP_DONT_INSERT_EMPTY_FRAGMENTS;
if (proto_version != PY_SSL_VERSION_SSL2)
    options |= SSL_OP_NO_SSLv2;
if (proto_version != PY_SSL_VERSION_SSL3)
    options |= SSL_OP_NO_SSLv3;
/* Minimal security flags for server and client side context.
 * Client sockets ignore server-side parameters. */
#ifdef SSL_OP_NO_COMPRESSION
    options |= SSL_OP_NO_COMPRESSION;
#endif
#ifdef SSL_OP_CIPHER_SERVER_PREFERENCE
    options |= SSL_OP_CIPHER_SERVER_PREFERENCE;
#endif
#ifdef SSL_OP_SINGLE_DH_USE
    options |= SSL_OP_SINGLE_DH_USE;
#endif
#ifdef SSL_OP_SINGLE_ECDH_USE
    options |= SSL_OP_SINGLE_ECDH_USE;
#endif
SSL_CTX_set_options(self->ctx, options);

```

1.3.3 CA store

`SSLContext.load_default_certs()` new in Python 3.4.

- Windows: `ssl.enum_certificates(store_name)`, new in Python 3.4. Use `CertOpenStore()` and `CertEnumCertificatesInStore()` functions.
- Linux: xxx
- macOS: xxx

See also

- [certifi](#): “Python package for providing Mozilla’s CA Bundle”.
- [\[Python-Dev\] SSL certificates recommendations for downstream python packagers](#)

1.3.4 SSLContext

New in Python 3.2.

1.3.5 CRLs

- `SSLContext.verify_flags`: New in Python 3.4
- `SSLContext.load_verify_locations()`: This method can also load certification revocation lists (CRLs) in PEM or DER format. New in Python 3.5.
- `ssl.enum_crls(store_name)`: new in Python 3.4, specific to Windows

1.3.6 Validate TLS certificates

- Python decides for certificate validation (September, 2014)
- CVE-2014-9365
- Python 2.7.9 (2014-12-10)
- Python 3.4.3 (2015-02-23)
- PEP 476: Enabling certificate verification by default for stdlib http clients: Python 3.4.3, 3.5
- PEP 466: Python 2.7.9
- Version matrix?
 - HTTP
 - SMTP
 - FTP
 - IMAP
 - POP3
 - XML-RPC
 - NNTP

1.3.7 TLS versions

- SSLv2 now black listed
- SSLv3 now black listed

1.3.8 OpenSSL versions

Python bundled OpenSSL in Windows and macOS installers.

OpenSSL versions (read from the Windows installer):

- Python 3.6.1: OpenSSL 1.0.2k
- Python 2.7.13, 3.5.3 and 3.6.0: OpenSSL 1.0.2j
- Python 2.7.12, 3.5.2: OpenSSL 1.0.2h
- Python 2.7.11, 3.4.4, 3.5.0, 3.5.1: OpenSSL 1.0.2d
- Python 2.7.10: OpenSSL 1.0.2a
- Python 2.7.9: OpenSSL 1.0.1j
- Python 3.3.5: OpenSSL 1.0.1e

Windows: see `PCbuild/get_externals.bat` (or `PCbuild/readme.txt` in older versions).

macOS: see `Mac/BuildScript/build-installer.py`.

macOS:

```
# Since Apple removed the header files for the deprecated system
# OpenSSL as of the Xcode 7 release (for OS X 10.10+), we do not
# have much choice but to build our own copy here, too.
```

Example of OpenSSL update: [Upgrade installers to OpenSSL 1.0.2k](#) (March 2017).

1.3.9 Links

- [The future of the Python ssl module](#) (June, 2016)
- [cryptography \(cryptography.io\)](#): Python library which exposes cryptographic recipes and primitives
- [pyOpenSSL](#)
- [M2Crypto](#)
- [urllib3 <https://urllib3.readthedocs.io/>](#)_
- [LibreSSL](#)
- [boringsssl](#)
- [multissl](#) (by Christian Heimes): Run Python tests against multiple installations of OpenSSL and LibreSSL

1.4 Python Security

1.4.1 Python Security model

Python doesn't implement [privilege separation](#) (not "inside" Python) to reduce the attack surface of Python. Once an attacker is able to execute arbitrary Python code, the attacker basically gets a full access to the system. Privilege separation can be implemented "outside" Python by putting Python inside a sandbox.

Example with [bpo-36506](#) (closed as not a bug): `getattr()` executes arbitrary code by design, it's not a vulnerability.

Bytecode

CPython doesn't verify that bytecode is safe. If an attacker is able to execute arbitrary bytecode, we consider that the security of the bytecode is the least important issue: using bytecode, sensitive code can be imported and executed.

For example, the `marshal` doesn't validate inputs.

Sandbox

Don't try to build a sandbox inside CPython. The attack surface is too large. Python has many introspection features, see for example the `inspect` module. Python also many convenient features which executes code on demand. Examples:

- the literal string `'\N{Snowman}'` imports the `unicodedata` module
- the code to log a warning might be abused to execute code

The good design is to put CPython into a sandbox, not the opposite.

Ok, understood, but I want a sandbox in Python. Well...

- [Eval really is dangerous](#) (Ned Batchelder, June 2012)
- [PyPy sandboxing](#)
- For Linux, search for `SECCOMP`

1.4.2 Dangerous functions and modules

- Python 2 `input()`
- Python 2 `execfile()`
- `eval()`
- `subprocess.Popen(shell=True)`
- `str.format()`, Python 3 `str.format_map`, and Python 2 `unicode.format()` all allow arbitrary attribute access on formatted values, and hence access to Python's introspection features: [Be Careful with Python's New-Style String Format](#) (Armin Ronacher, December 2016)
- The `pickle` module executes arbitrary Python code: never use it with untrusted data.

Archives and absolute paths

- `tarfile`: Never extract archives from untrusted sources without prior inspection. It is possible that files are created outside of path, e.g. members that have absolute filenames starting with `"/` or filenames with two dots `..`.
- `zipfile`: Never extract archives from untrusted sources without prior inspection. It is possible that files are created outside of path, e.g. members that have absolute filenames starting with `"/` or filenames with two dots `..`. `zipfile` attempts to prevent that.

Archives and Zip Bomb (CVE-2019-9674)

Be careful of "Zip Bombs": a very small archive can use a huge amount of memory and disk space once decompressed.

The `zlib` module allows to limit the maximum length: <https://docs.python.org/dev/library/zlib.html#zlib.Decompress.decompress>

For example, the OpenStack Nova was vulnerable of denial of service if a compressed virtual machine was a Zip Bomb: OSSA 2016-012 and CVE-2015-5162.

Turns out `qemu` image parser is not hardened against malicious input and can be abused to allocated an arbitrary amount of memory and/or dump a lot of information when used with `"-output=json"`.

Nova has been fixed using the `prlimit` command (with one implementation written in Python: [prlimit.py](#)) to limit the maximum memory of the process.

See:

- `zipfile`: [Decompression pitfalls](#).
- [bpo-36260: \[security\] CVE-2019-9674: Zip Bomb vulnerability](#)
- [CVE-2019-9674](#)
- [Wikipedia: Zip bomb](#)

1.4.3 Shell command injection

See https://www.owasp.org/index.php/Command_Injection

Whenever possible, avoid `subprocess.Popen(shell=True)` and `os.popen()`. On UNIX, `shlex.quote()` can be used to escape command line arguments to use them safely in a shell command.

For Windows, see:

- `subprocess.list2cmdline()` (private function)

- `distutils.spawn._nt_quote_args()` (private function)
- <https://bugs.python.org/issue8987>
- <https://bugs.python.org/issue20744>

1.4.4 RNG

- **CSPRNG:**
 - `os.urandom()`
 - `random.SystemRandom`
 - [secrets module \(Python 3.6\)](#)
- `os.urandom()` uses:
 - **Python 3.6:** `CryptGenRandom()`, `getentropy()`, `getrandom(0)` (**blocking**) or `/dev/urandom`
 - **Python 3.5:** `CryptGenRandom()`, `getentropy()`, `getrandom(GRND_NONBLOCK)` (**non-blocking**) or `/dev/urandom`
 - **Python 2.7:** `CryptGenRandom()`, `getentropy()` or `/dev/urandom`
 - [PEP 524: Make os.urandom\(\) blocking on Linux: Python 3.6](#)
- `ssl.RAND_bytes()` fork issue:
 - [Python issue: Re-seed OpenSSL's PRNG after fork](#)
 - [OpenSSL Random fork-safety](#)

The `random` module must not be used in security sensitive code, except of the `random.SystemRandom` class.

1.4.5 CPython Security Experts

- Alex Gaynor
- Antoine Pitrou
- Christian Heimes
- Donald Stufft

1.4.6 Windows

ASLR and DEP

ASLR and DEP protections enabled since Python 3.4 (and Python 2.7.11 if built using `PCbuild/` directory).

Unsafe Python 2.7 default installation directory

Python 2.7 installer uses `C:\Python27\` directory by default. The created directory has the “Modify” access rights given to the “Authenticated Users” group. An attacker can modify the standard library or even modify `python.exe`. Python 3 installer now installs Python in `C:\Program Files` by default to fix this issue. Override the default installation directory, or fix the directory permissions.

DLL injection

On Windows 8.1 and older, the installer is vulnerable to DLL injection: evil DLL written in the same download directory that the downloaded Python installer. See [DLL Hijacking Just Won't Die](#).

DLL injection using PATH

Inject a malicious DLL in a writable directory included in PATH. The “pip” step of the Python installer will run this DLL.

We consider that it is not an issue of Python (Python installer) itself.

Once you have write access to a directory on the system PATH (not the current user PATH) and the ability to write binaries that are not validated by the operating system before loading, there are many more interesting things you can do rather than wait for the Python installer to be run.

1.4.7 Module Search Path (`sys.path`)

- `python3 -E`: ignore `PYTHON*` environment variables like `PYTHONPATH`
- `python3 -I`: isolated mode, also implies `-E` and `-s`
- [bpo-5753: CVE-2008-5983 python: untrusted python modules search path \(2009\) added `PySys_SetArgvEx\(\)` \(to Python 2.6.6, 2.7.0, 3.1.3, 3.2.0\)](#): allows embedders of the interpreter to set `sys.argv` without also modifying `sys.path`. This helps fix CVE-2008-5983.
- [CVE-2015-5652: Untrusted search path vulnerability in python.exe in Python through 3.5.0 on Windows](#) allows local users to gain privileges via a Trojan horse `readline.pyd` file in the current working directory. NOTE: the vendor says “It was determined that this is a longtime behavior of Python that cannot really be altered at this point.”

1.4.8 Static analysers of CPython code base

- Coverity:
 - [Coverity Scan: Python](#)
 - [devguide](#) info about Coverity
 - analysis of 2012 by Coverity Software resulted in CPython receiving their highest quality rating.
- LGTM
- Svmace static analyzer

1.4.9 Fuzzing

- [Introduction to Fuzzing in Python with AFL \(2015-04-13\)](#) by Alex Gaynor

1.4.10 Misc

- Python 3.7 adds a `is_safe` attribute to `uuid.UUID` objects: <http://bugs.python.org/issue22807>
- XML: [defusedxml](#), XML bomb protection for Python stdlib modules
- [Python at HackerOne](#)

- [humans.txt](#) of [python.org](#) with the list of “people who found security bugs in the website”. For the rationale, see [humanstxt.org](#).

1.4.11 Python Security Response Team (PSRT)

- Handle security@python.org incoming emails
- PSRT issues (private)
- LWN: [The Python security response team \(June, 2016\)](#)

1.4.12 Links

- [Reporting security issues in Python](#)
- [Python Security Announce public mailing list](#)
- [OWASP Python Security Project \(pythonsecurity.org\)](#)
- [bandit: Python AST-based static analyzer from OpenStack Security Group](#)
- [Python CVEs \(cvedetails.com\)](#)
- <https://gemnasium.com/>
- [owasp-pysec: OWASP Python Security Project](#)
- LWN: [Python ssl module update by Christian Heimes at the Python Language Summit 2017 \(during Pycon US, Portland, OR\)](#)

[Status of Python branches](#) lists Python branches which get security fixes.